

The Nordic Electronics Packaging Guideline
Chapter C: Conductive Polymers

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LEVEL 0: OPENING PAGES

- Aim of the guideline
- Acknowledgements
- Boxes to select the technology

LEVEL 1: INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Introduction to polymer bonding
- 1.2 Adhesive options
- 1.3 General benefits and limitations of Conductive Adhesives
- 1.4 Conductive Adhesives General
 - 1.4.1 Materials – types of polymers
 - 1.4.1.1 Thermoplastics
 - 1.4.1.2 Thermosets
 - 1.4.1.3 Fillers
- 1.5 Basic types of Conductive Polymers
 - 1.5.1 Intrinsically Conductive Polymers
 - 1.5.2 Isotropically Conductive Adhesives
 - 1.5.3 Anisotropic Conductive Adhesives
 - 1.5.3.1 Patterned Conductive Type
 - 1.5.3.2 Random Conductor Type
 - 1.5.4 Nonconductive Adhesives Used for Electrical Connections
- 1.6 Why look for alternatives to solder?
 - 1.6.1 Solder joint stress cracking
 - 1.6.2 Thermal mismatch problems
 - 1.6.3 Leaching
 - 1.6.4 Environmental aspects
 - 1.6.4.1 Flux
 - 1.6.4.2 Lead

LEVEL 2: CONCLUSIONS AND GUIDELINES

- 2.1 Design and production issues
 - 2.1.1 Applying the adhesive to the board
 - 2.1.2 The pick and place operation
 - 2.1.3 The curing operation
 - 2.1.4 Bleed out
- 2.2 Basic facts about electrically conductive adhesives
 - 2.2.1 Isotropic electrically conductive adhesives
 - 2.2.1.1 Filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives
 - 2.2.2 Non-filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives
 - 2.2.3 Anisotropic electrically conductive adhesives
 - 2.2.4 Thermosetting adhesives
 - 2.2.4.1 One component thermosetting adhesives
 - 2.2.4.2 Two-component thermosetting adhesives
 - 2.2.4.3 The polymeric matrix in thermosetting adhesives

- 2.2.4.4 The epoxy-based polymeric matrix
 - 2.2.4.5 The silicone based polymeric matrix
 - 2.2.4.6 The polyimide based polymeric matrix
 - 2.2.5 Thermoplastic adhesives
 - 2.2.6 Electrically conductive filler particles
 - 2.2.6.1 Material
 - 2.2.6.2 Particle shape and size
 - 2.2.7 Additives
 - 2.2.7.1 Reactive solvents
 - 2.2.7.2 Flexibility compounds
- 2.3 Discussions of parameters relevant to the evaluation of the properties of an adhesive
 - 2.3.1 Electrical conductivity
 - 2.3.2 Thermal conductivity
 - 2.3.3 Coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE)
 - 2.3.4 Reworkability
 - 2.3.5 Rheology
 - 2.3.6 Ionic impurities
 - 2.3.7 Weight loss
 - 2.3.8 Flexibility
 - 2.3.9 Die shear strength
 - 2.3.10 Substrate
 - 2.3.11 Glass transition temperature
 - 2.3.12 Cost
 - 2.3.13 Reliability
 - 2.3.13.1 Electrical conductivity
 - 2.3.13.2 Shear strength
 - 2.3.13.3 Ageing of substrate or component
 - 2.3.13.4 Pre-tinned surfaces
 - 2.3.14 Environmental tests
 - 2.3.14.1 Thermal ageing
 - 2.3.14.2 Temperature shock/cycling
 - 2.3.14.3 Humidity storage
 - 2.3.14.4 Pressure cooker test/HAST
- 2.4 The advantage of Adhesive Technology for SMT on rigid PCB
- 2.5 Reliability
 - 2.5.1 Reliability investigations of ICA joints
 - 2.5.2 Reliability of anisotropic conductive Adhesives
- 2.6 Failure causes
 - 2.6.1 Oxidation and corrosion (SnPb, Cu)
 - 2.6.2 Crack formation/delamination
 - 2.6.3 Silver depleted layer
 - 2.6.4 Creep of the adhesive
 - 2.6.5 Formation of an intermetallic layer
 - 2.6.6 Ag migration
 - 2.6.7 Processing defects
- 2.7 The influence on internal and external environments
 - 2.7.1 External environmental aspects
 - 2.7.2 Internal environmental aspects

LEVEL 3: BACKGROUND INFORMATION (links)

- 3.1 Reports
- 3.2 Papers

LEVEL 4: REFERENCES

- 4.1 Design and production issues

LEVEL 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION TO POLYMER BONDING

Electrically conductive adhesives have been used for many years for i.c. die mounting and terminal bonding of components in some types of hybrid circuits. These applications are not particularly demanding on either the properties of the conductive adhesive or on the mounting technology. The advent of Surface Mount Technology (SMT) as applied to large area Printed Circuit Boards (PCB) amounted to a major revolution in Printed Circuit Technology which had seen great progress but few revolutionary developments in a period of over four decades. SMT has certainly included some revolutionary concepts and major changes in the design and construction of components to be assembled. This has mainly related to the replacement of leads by solderable pads. This clearly implied the expectation that solder would be used as the bonding agent in the mounting operation.

It was perhaps inevitable that in due course conductive adhesives should be considered for surface mounting on PCBs. The incentive to consider this development received strong support from environmental considerations relating to the growing awareness of the undesirable aspects of solder technology including the use of cleaning agents for removing the flux and the presence of lead and other heavy metals in the solder itself.

However, the apparently simple step of replacing solder with conductive adhesives has proven to be a complex problem where considerable and growing attention has not as yet solved all of the issues.

The challenges to be faced were the shift to large boards, which were not encapsulated as was the case with i.c. die in their various packages or hybrid circuits, which usually had some form of protection for the added devices. Then there was the variety of components to be bonded, all requiring to be placed with considerable precision and some having a large (and as time went by a rapidly increasing) number of small and closely positioned terminal pads.

Many other relevant parameters have now come under scrutiny such as the flexibility of the joint, which together with such items as expansion coefficient can affect stress levels in the bond and its shear strength. Reliability of the many bonds involved in a surface mounted PCB has become a matter of prime concern. Reworkability is attracting considerable attention and the whole question of recovering residues is now regarded as a matter of crucial importance.

When we now carry out an information retrieval study on the subject, we find that those early papers that are most relevant to the subject at the present time came from technologists and manufacturing companies engaged in adhesive mounting techniques. The pioneering work of Estes and Kulesza and the papers from Emerson and Cuming and from other manufacturers of conductive adhesives are now reinforced with unfortunately only a few number of papers from major companies in the electronics industry describing their experiences and aspirations in the application of conductive adhesives to their main assembly processes. But still there is a lot of secretiveness in the adhesive world.

After a decade of encouraging development the subject of conductive adhesives has now reached a critical stage. This is no passing phase or a minor fashion in experimental assembly techniques. If current progress continues this technology will become a major factor in electronics manufacture affecting all of the many branches of the electronics industry. If the quality and reliability of the process meet the required standard a major reinvestment programme will have to be faced. New manufacturing techniques will involve new test procedures and a new quality and standard structure will be required. Reliability levels at least as high as those that can be achieved today with the best soldering techniques will be established.

The focus is on the use of adhesives as solder alternatives. The process discussion attempts to stay within the bounds of the existing solder assembly infrastructure as much as possible. Both major types of adhesives, isotropic (conduction equally in all directions) and anisotropy (unidirectional conductivity), are covered. The two major divisions of polymer adhesives, thermoses and thermoplastics, are described.

Polymers are long-chain molecules, such as epoxies acrylics and urethanes that are widely used to produce structural products such as films, coatings and adhesives. Although polymers occur naturally, most are now synthesised. Their properties can be tailored to meet thousands of different applications. Polymer-based adhesives are used in virtually every industry because of this capability to customise performance. Polymers have excellent dielectric properties and, for this reason, are used extensively as electrical insulators. Most wire insulation is made from polymers. Although a narrow class of conductive polymers, called Intrinsically Conductive Polymers (ICPs), does exist, their other properties do not lend themselves for use as conductive adhesives. Therefore, adding conductive fillers to non-conductive polymer binders makes virtually all-conductive adhesives.

1.2 ADHESIVE OPTIONS

To realise conductive joints with adhesives, three basic options exist, i.e. the use of isotropic conductive adhesives (ICAs), anisotropy systems (or Z-axis adhesives), either film or paste (ACA(F)), and even non-conductive adhesives (NCA). Several applications already exist for all three areas.

For all three options quite some research and development work is going on and many new possibilities are becoming available.

In the area of isotropic conductive adhesives the preferred systems are the silver filled epoxy adhesives. Typical curing times are in the order of 3-10 minutes at 120-150°C. Acrylic and polyamide type adhesives and silicones are also known but have either lower electrical performance or more difficult processing (polyamide). New developments have been reported using thermoplastic materials consisting of high melting plastics (e.g. PES type).

Another interesting development is the use of nanoparticles instead of the present silver flakes in the micrometer range; the electrical performances of these systems, however, needs further improvement.

Anisotropy (or Z-axis) adhesives offer several attractive advantages, e.g. very high resolution potential (pitch down to 50 μ m due to the possibility of non-specific application), fast curing, and the possibility of avoiding slow curing underfill in the case of flip chip applications. They have, however, restricted current density and need a pressure contact during curing which makes a broad application for the bonding of SMD components unlikely. Their main strength appears to be for interconnection and flip chip bonding. In this area quite a variety of (new) developments have become available, ranging from Au coated plastic spheres, to Ni particles with oxide breaking power, solder (SnBi) filled systems, ways to increase particle density and reducing the danger of short cuts, by particle orientation or double layer systems. The use of thermohardening resins instead of the formerly used thermoplastic systems also improved electrical behaviour and reliability.

Relatively new developments also are Ag-filled UV-acrylate and UV-initiated epoxy systems making very fast curing at relatively low temperature possible.

Non-conductive adhesives (either UV-curing or thermally curing systems) also have been successfully used for flip chip bonding (on CDs and smart cards) and are under further investigation by several groups.

1.3 GENERAL BENEFITS AND LIMITATIONS OF CONDUCTIVE ADHESIVES

Conductive adhesives are very different from metallurgical solders. These differences produce a large set of benefits that are listed below. Not every adhesive presently has all of the features listed, but conductive adhesives technology has the potential to deliver all of these benefits in a single material.

Benefits:

- Compatibility with a wide range of surfaces including non-solderable ones.
- Low temperature processing; low thermal stress during processing
- Low thermomechanical fatigue; good temperature cycling performance
- Low or no significant VOCs
- No residuals; high surface insulation resistance
- Reduced pre-clean or post-clean requirements; no cleaning agents or washing equipment
- Nolead or other toxic metals.
- Wide processing latitude; easy process control. Finer pitch capability
- Soldermask not required

Limitations:

- Lower mechanical strength
- No component self-alignment
- Some adhesives require special finishes on parts and printed wiring boards
- Higher electrical resistance
- Higher thermal resistance
- More difficult to rework

1.4 CONDUCTIVE ADHESIVES GENERAL

The most common conductive adhesives are silver-filled thermosetting epoxies that are typically provided as thixotropic pastes. They are used to electrically interconnect and mechanically bond components to circuits. Heat is most often used to activate a catalyst or co-reactant hardener that converts the paste to a strong, electrically conductive solid. The products, which conduct equally in all directions, are referred to as isotropic conductive adhesives. These metal-filled thermosetting conductive adhesives have been used as die attach materials for many decades and are still the most popular products for bonding ICs to lead frames. More recently metal-filled thermosets have been formulated as component assembly materials. New polymer-based materials are now being used to replace metallurgical solders, especially for surface mount assembly.

A number of other types of adhesives have also been developed. Silver-filled thermoplastic adhesives are available in both paste and film form. The films have found use primarily as die attach adhesives. Thermoplastic pastes are made by dissolving polymer resins into solvents and then adding conductive fillers. Some of the commercially available thermoplastic conductive pastes can be used for component assembly adhesives, but their properties are typically not as well-suited as the thermosets. This guideline will therefore focus on thermoset systems for isotropic type adhesives. Both thermoset and thermoplastic processing will be covered for anisotropic adhesives since both types and mixed polymer systems are widely available at this time.

Anisotropic conductive adhesives represent the other major division of polymer bonding agents. The anisotropic adhesives can provide uni-directional conductivity which is always in the vertical, or Z-axis. The directional conductivity is achieved by using a relatively low volume loading of conductive filler. The low volume loading, which is insufficient for inter-particle contact, prevents conductivity in the plane of the adhesive. The Z-axis adhesive, in film or paste form, is placed between the surfaces to be connected. Application of heat and pressure to this stack up causes conductive particles to be trapped between opposing conductors on the two adherents. Once electrical continuity is produced, the dielectric polymer binder is hardened by thermally initiated chemical reaction (thermosets) or by cooling (thermoplastics). The hardened dielectric polymer holds the assembly together and helps maintain the electrical contact between conductors and particles. Anisotropic adhesive products are now being used to connect flat panel displays, TAB, flip chips and fine pitch SMDs. Since anisotropic adhesives generate different characteristics and require special equipment and processing, they will be covered separately in more detail later.

1.4.1 Materials - Types of polymers

1.4.1.1 Thermoplastics

The introduction briefly discussed the basic types of polymers, thermoses and thermoplastics. Thermoplastic binders, those polymers that are already polymerised, have not found widespread use for component assembly, as have the thermoses isotropic type conductive adhesives. One problem is that useful thermoplastics are solids that must either be melted or dissolved in solvent to be used. Very limited use of isotropic thermoplastics has occurred in calculators, but the difficulty in application has severely limited them.

Anisotropy conductive adhesives do use thermoplastic binders since they do not require selective application. Most anisotropy products are provided in film form. The material is used by first applying it to the circuit (and sometimes to the component). Since electrical conductivity only occurs in the Z-axis where opposing conductors are forced together, the film can be applied to the entire circuit. Assembly is accomplished by forcing components against the adhesive-coated circuit conductors while adding heat. A thermoplastic binder will soften and bond to the adherents. Thermoplastic materials can also be mixed with thermosetting polymers to allow lower temperature assembly by higher temperature performance. It should be emphasised that thermoplastics can be re-melted. They are not altered during the assembly heating process like thermoses. They also have excellent storage characteristics and do not require refrigeration like the one-part thermoses.

<LINK TO 2.2.5>

1.4.1.2 Thermosets

Thermosetting polymers are true to their name. Heat “sets” them and permanently changes the properties. Most thermosetting systems, especially the pastes used for isotropically conductive adhesives, are polymer precursors (ingredients that will polymerise). Epoxies typically consist of a low weight liquid with reactive epoxy groups and a co-reacting hardener. The addition of heat causes the two ingredients to chemically react forming very high weight, cross-linked polymers. Crosslinks, or chemical bonds between adjacent chains, produce the thermoses characteristic of shape retention on heating. The thermoplastics are made up of polymer chains that are independent (not linked). Heat allows the individual chains to move past one another and to be reshaped. The re-application of heat again softens the thermoplastic making them somewhat analogous to solder. The three-dimensional network of cross-links in the thermoses prevents chain movement.

Thermoses adhesives are provided as both singlecomponent (one-part) and as unmixed two-part is stable almost indefinitely. Prior to use, the ingredients must be mixed, however. This often introduces air, a serious problem, and user may not have the equipment to produce good mixing. Both users and manufacturers prefer one-part systems. The one-part system’s major disadvantage is that the mixed system has a limited storage life unless refrigerated. Recalling that a chemical reaction rate increases for every 10°C, the converse is also true. Refrigeration slows the polymerisation rate to a level where storage can be extended to six months or more. While it is possible to make up systems that are stable at room temperature, higher temperatures over extended times are required for curing. However, improvements in catalysts and hardeners continue and pot life, now up to six days at room temperature for some of the products that cure quickly (3-6 minutes) even as low as 130-150°C, can be expected to continue to improve.

<LINK TO 2.2.4>

1.4.1.3 Fillers

Silver is by far the most popular conductive filler, although gold, nickel, copper and carbon are used. Metal-plated con-conductors are also used, especially for anisotropy adhesives. Silver is unique among all

of the cost-effective metals by nature of its conductive oxide. Oxides of most common metals are good electrical insulators and copper powder, for example, becomes a poor conductor after ageing. Nickel and copper-based adhesives do not have good stability. Even with antioxidants, copper-based materials will show and increase in volume resistivity and ageing, especially under high humidity conditions. Silver-plated copper has found commercial application in conductive inks and this type of filler should work in adhesives as well. While composites made with pure silver particles often show improved conductivity when heat aged, exposed to heat and humidity or thermal cycled, this is not always the case for silver-plated metals, such as copper flake. Presumably, the application of heat and mechanical energy allows the particles to make more intimate contact, but the silver-plated copper may have coating discontinuities that allow oxidation of the copper.

Fillers for anisotropy conductive adhesives are often very different from those for isotropic adhesives. There is usually only one layer of conductive particles between the two adherents in anisotropy configurations. The conductive particle makes a mechanical contact between the conductors with the polymer binder supplying the tensional force. Although both hard and soft conductors are being used, most systems have moved toward resilient particles that deform and act like small springs. The most common type has a plastic core that is over-coated with a good conductor like gold or silver. The most popular conductive particle is a polymer sphere that has been first plated with nickel and then pure gold.

<LINK TO 2.2.6>

1.5 BASIC TYPES OF CONDUCTIVE ADHESIVES

1.5.1 Intrinsically Conductive Polymers (ICP)

Although polymers are natural electrical insulators, especially molecular structures can impart conductivity. Electron-dense multiple double and triple chemical bonds are typically used in the ICP structure. However, their multi-bond structures tend to produce rigid, insoluble polymers that are difficult to use. The multiple bonds and fused ring structures found in all of the common ICPs tend to be sensitive to oxidation. The instability in air, brittleness and difficulty of application, make ICPs mostly a lab curiosity at this stage, but there is hope that new conductive structures may someday lead to useful products. A thermoplastic, highly conductive polymer could become the preferred joining material in the future. But for now, only the conductor-filled adhesives are viable.

1.5.2 Isotropically Conductive Adhesives

The isotropic adhesives have been around for many decades as pastes applied by painting, screen printing, stencilling, needle dispensing, pin transfer, pad printing and other less common approaches. Polymer binders have included natural resins, polyesters, urethanes, polyamides, vinyls, phenolics, acrylics, epoxies and every other polymer that has reasonable properties. Epoxies clearly dominate and are likely to maintain that role because of their balance of good properties, wide availability, moderate cost and relative safety of use.

Isotropic adhesives must be applied only where needed since they conduct in all directions like solders. The need to apply isotropic selectively makes their rheology very important. Most products are thixotropic pastes with viscosity's ranging from about 100,000 to 500,000 CPS and higher. The thixotropic nature of most of these adhesives, the reversible lowering of viscosity when shear force is applied, allows these thick pastes to be cleanly printed without bleed or slumping. The thixotropic index, the ratio of viscosity at a low and a higher shear rate, is a common measure of thixotropy. All common methods used for solder paste work equally well, or even better, for isotropic adhesives. The isotropic class should be considered as the polymer alternative to solder paste.

<LINK TO 2.2.1>

1.5.3 Anisotropic Conductive Adhesives

1.5.3.1 Patterned Conductive Type

A limited number of vendors are starting to supply patterned conductor products. These materials are more difficult to make and must have dimensional stability not required of the random type products. Conductors are located in a repeat pattern such as 1.5-mm centres. One issue is that of providing standard patterns, unless they are custom. The proliferation of so many surface mount packages made it impractical to provide patterned patterns for all of these packages. The advent of area array packages, such as the Ball Grid Array (BGA), now makes it possible to produce a limited number of standard repeat patterns that match virtually all of the popular BGAs. Today, there are only a few common and proposed pitches: 0.5 (micro BGA), 1.0, 1.5 and 1.75 mm centres. Sheets of patterned conductor anisotropy films with these patterns can be died cut to match any packages. Thermoses, thermoplastic and mixed systems are being offered. Some companies are willing to make custom patterns that match package and bare die footprints. While it is too early to tell if the patterned anisotropy's will deliver the superior performance promised, there are several potential benefits over random type materials. We should expect better electrical performance from the patterned system since all conductors are positioned exactly where the required connection sites are located. The random materials place isolated conductive particles between conductor traces where they can degrade signals. A lower resistance junction should be expected from the patterned materials since more conductors are placed between the mating connections. On the negative side, patterned films must be aligned with the component, thus adding an additional registration step.

1.5.3.2 Random Conductor Type

The majority of anisotropic conductive adhesives are made by randomly dispersing conductive particles in a polymer adhesive. The simplicity of the manufacturing process, essentially just mixing conductive particles in a resin vehicle, accounts for the wide availability of the product. While a large number of different polymer systems are in use, a relatively few types of conductors are employed. Most products use small (0.0032-0.00048 mm) particles of either pure metal or metallized polymer spheres. There are a few variations on the theme such as solder powder, which can fuse to the adherents, and polymer-coated metal that allows higher loading. Most suppliers offer films while only a few sell pastes. The pastes can be catalysed one-part or two-component systems that are mixed prior to use. Films are provided as custom performs, strips of various widths and rolls. The most common form factor is adhesive film on a release liner with a second release liner on top.

<LINK TO 2.2.3>

1.5.4 Non-conductive Adhesives Used for Electrical Connections

Non-conductive adhesives, primarily dielectric liquids, have been used to mechanically mate flip chips to circuitry. The component must have raised bumps so that there is some clearance under the die or package. The adhesive shrinks during curing to pull the component or bare die to the circuit. The electrical contact is directly through the package or die terminations to the circuit lands. The adhesive serves a mechanical and protective function only.

<LINK TO 2.2.2>

1.6 WHY LOOK FOR ALTERNATIVES TO SOLDER?

Solder has been used for making electrical joints for many years, but in spite of the long period of experience with this material there are still some problems not fully overcome. Some of the most **relevant problems due to** soldering SMDs onto rigid PCBs are:

- * Solder joint stress cracking
- * Thermal mismatch problems

- * Leaching
- * Environmental aspects

1.6.1 Solder joint stress cracking

Stress cracking of solder joints can occur due to mechanical stress. This means that flexing of a rigid board after the assembling process can cause solder joint stress cracking. Furthermore, continuing thermal cycling in such a way that the joint becomes brittle can effect the microstructure of the joint. Also the different values of the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of solder, substrate and component can be the cause of solder joint stress cracking as described below.

1.6.2 Thermal mismatch problems

Thermal mismatch can cause damage to an encapsulated die as well as cracks in the encapsulation material. During the soldering process the components are exposed to high temperatures. A component is a composition of different materials each characterised by a specific value of the coefficient of thermal expansion. This more or less leads to a build-up of stress in the component and/or the joint when soldered or exposed of thermal shocks/cycling resulting in the risk of cracks, sharp pointed filler particle degradations etc.

1.6.3 Leaching

The terminations of some types of passive components contain silver. This is for example the case for several ceramic multilayer chip capacitors. Hot solder has the ability of dissolving this silver leading to a porous metallization or simple demetallization and thereby a poor connection.

1.6.4 Environmental aspects

Today the soldering technique involves the use of fluxes containing different kinds of chemicals, e.g. organic solvents, colophon or a synthetic resin, amino-ethyl ethanolamine or fluoride and alkaline substances, solder, containing lead, and CFCs for cleaning remaining flux after soldering.

1.6.4.1 Flux

With regard to flux the internal environment is of greatest concern. It is known that vapours containing colophon can cause asthma and allergy [C54].

1.6.4.2 Lead

Lead as a heavy metal is of concern when exposed to the environment. When modern SMT soldering techniques are used the amount of solder waste is more or less related to contaminated solder baths (wave soldering) and a minor amount as residuals in the containers in which the solder is delivered. However, to consider the isolated assembling process is not sufficient if any statement should be given about the environmental aspects related to **the use** of lead containing solder. It is important to take into consideration the pollution caused by the production of the raw materials, the consumers' use of the product and finally from recycling or disposal of the discarded product. It is this last part of the product's life cycle that is of special concern in relation to the use of lead containing solder - every time an assembly is discarded the lead will end in the environment in one form or another. Furthermore, the lead is of concern in relation to the working environment.

LEVEL 2: CONCLUSIONS AND GUIDELINES

2.1 DESIGN AND PRODUCTION ISSUES




Figure C1 Production flow chart

*

Not all electrically conductive adhesives provide sufficient mechanical strength so this can be achieved by additional use of a non-conductive adhesive positioned under the centre of the component. This is especially appropriate for the first generation of electrically conductive adhesives.

**

This step is only appropriate if the adhesive is not fully cured in the first curing process. This may be advantageous in order to facilitate rework [C14b].

When compared to the process for assembly using solder it can be seen that removal of flux residuals and also prebake may be eliminated by use of adhesives instead of solder. Furthermore, the board shall not be solder plated, and pre-tinned component are not needed. In fact, this last mentioned aspect may be a problem because the supply of components, which are not pre-tinned is limited on the market today.

2.1.1 Applying the adhesive to the board

It seems that the most frequently used method for applying the adhesive is by screen printing or stencil.

The layer thickness of the adhesive may be dependent on the type of components used [C17] but lies, in general, between 20-50 μm [C18]. In [C17] the recommended pad thickness is higher, i.e. between 140 and 180 μm in order to take into account the high tolerance of the different components. By evaluation of data sheets it seems that the normal recommended thickness most closely equals that reported in [18]. For anisotropic adhesives a thinner layer may be optimal for use. An example is given in [C3] where a 15-20 μm layer is applied.

2.1.2 The pick and place operation

The components can be placed by normal pick and place operations but component alignment must be very precise. This is necessary because adhesives do not have the self-aligning feature that solder provides [C3]. In [C10] it is said that “pick and place machines provide sufficiently precise placement. Smaller manufacturing operations which may use manual or semiautomatic placement could have epoxy smearing problems”.

2.1.3 The curing operation

Electrically conductive adhesives can be cured in conventional IR ovens, in hot belt ovens or in vapour phase equipment (2,3). Thermoplastic adhesives must not be cured in vapour phase ovens: “The organic vapours used in these ovens not only will prevent evaporation of the contained solvent but will attack the organic solder and dramatically change its properties for the worse” [C1].

The curing time and temperature are very dependent on the material and the properties required. Normally curing temperature lies between room temperature and 180°C (16,1). For anisotropy adhesives pressure is also needed during curing and values from 0.2 kg/cm^2 [C49] to 2-50 kg/cm^2 [C49] are reported. Curing time may be from seconds to about half an hour or more (C18,3). Posture is typically one hour at 80°C [C3].

2.1.4 Bleed out

“Bleed-out” is a phenomenon where the resin is not stable enough to stay positioned on the footprints on the board. According to [C26] this is especially a problem with thermosetting materials where it is said that: “during the curing process, the bulk viscosity of the material is initially reduced due to the temperature rise. Then the viscosity will slowly build up because of the molecular weight increase. Conductimer*P-86 is a fully reacted high molecular weight thermoplastic. The viscosity of this thermoplastic adhesive continuously increases as solvent is lost”.

In [C43] it is said that bleed-out especially is a problem, if the substrate surface is rough or contaminated with organic material.

2.2 BASIC FACTS ABOUT ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE ADHESIVES

As the name indicates electrically conductive adhesives are adhesives which are made electrically conductive in one way or another. Most often this is done by incorporation electrically conductive particles (metal or plated particles) into the adhesive – a polymer structure. There are several basically different ECAs, different in the way they conduct or in the construction of the polymer structure. In addition to these main types there are variants within each group due to choice of material, modifications in particle size and shape, curing conditions etc.

Below in Figure C2 the main types and their subgroups are listed:




Figure C2 Showing a survey of the main types of electrically conductive adhesives and their subgroups

2.2.1 Isotropic electrically conductive adhesives

The main characteristic of this type of adhesives is that it conducts in all directions in the joint as a normal solder joint.

2.2.1.1 Filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives

Filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives consist, in general, of about 70-80% filler particles. When the adhesive is cured the filler particles are uniformly distributed and form a network within the polymer structure - the non-conductive binder. By this network electrons can flow across the particle contact points making the mixture electrically conductive. Due to the nature of the network formed, paths are made available for the current to flow in all directions.

Filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives are available both as thermosetting and thermoplastic materials.

2.2.2 Non-filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives

This kind of adhesives are based on polymers that are inherently electrically conductive (also called doped). Most of the inherently conductive polymer materials are polymerised aromatic compounds that derive their electrical properties from their molecular structure. The carbon structure has very long arrays of alternating double and single bonds resembling the structure in graphite. Examples of such materials are Polyacetylene, polypyrrole, polyparaphenylene, polyaniline and many others [C28].

In [C28] it is said that "Emerson & Cuming's senior scientist, Dr. Justin C. Bolger, describes inherently conductive polymer technology as "very long range". He characterises these materials as extremely brittle **and sensitive to** oxidation. He also suggests, "They will become useful for thin film deposition but never as a replacement for solder"."

Non-filled electrically conductive adhesives will not be further discussed in this project.

2.2.3 Anisotropic electrically conductive adhesives

The special characteristics for this type of adhesives are their ability to conduct in only one direction - along the Z-axis. This special effect is achieved by the formulation of the adhesive and the curing conditions.

Anisotropic electrically conductive adhesives are all filled adhesives but the content of electrically conductive filler is reduced compared to the amount used in isotropic electrically conductive adhesives. The curing process involves the use of moderate pressure. When the component terminations are pressed against the corresponding circuit pads, an amount of unfilled resin is squeezed out, leaving a particle rich layer between termination and substrate [C41].




Figure C3 An illustration of the mechanism of anisotropic electrically conductive adhesives

Other systems are available, where the filler particles are coated with a nonconducting coating that breaks when exposed to pressure. This results in an amount of filler in the matrix between two pads, where the coating is intact and a few particles pressed between termination and pad that now are able to conduct.

This means that the adhesive cannot conduct in either X or Y directions, although filler particles touch each other.

As for filled isotropic electrically conductive adhesives the anisotropic adhesives exist both as thermosetting and thermoplastic materials.

Anisotropic conductive adhesives are available in liquid form for screen printing and as an adhesive film, especially suitable for connecting flex circuits to LCDs or to PCBs.

The electrically conductive particles used in anisotropic conductive adhesives are often deformable spheres coated with e.g. gold or silver, also nickel is used. The advantages of deformable spheres are the availability of a larger contact area when pressure is applied.

Clearly, one great advantage of these adhesives that only conduct in one direction is the fact that their use is not restricted to bonding areas, this is particularly advantageous with narrow pitched conductor patterns. However, the necessity of applied pressure during curing can very well be a limiting factor for the use of these, in many ways, ideal adhesives.

Anyhow, some anisotropic adhesives are developed which cure at low pressure, and that means that they can be cured during the pick- and place operation [C49].

2.2.4 Thermosetting adhesives

The phrase "thermosetting" is related to the curing mechanism of the resin. The adhesive consists of a polymer resin (epoxy, polyamide or silicone are the most frequently used), curing agent, additives and, of course, the conductive filler particles. The thermosetting adhesives are characterised by the fact that a chemical reaction between polymer resin and curing agent occurs during curing. By this reaction the resin and the curing agent (or the resin itself) are bonded together resulting in a three dimensional polymeric network.

In chemical terms "thermosetting" is only used for adhesives that cure involving a chemical reaction at elevated temperatures. In most literature concerning electrically conductive adhesives the phrase is used for all adhesives that cure involving a chemical reaction, also when it takes place at room temperature, the phrase will be used in that meaning in the following.

When cured the thermosetting adhesives do not melt under heating. When the temperature exceeds the glass transition temperature (T_g) the material becomes rubbery and leathery and has great flexibility and toughness. Below T_g the material is hard and often also brittle.

The thermosetting adhesives can be divided into two subgroups named one-component and two-component thermosetting adhesives. The general differences of these two types are described in the following.

2.2.4.1 One component thermosetting adhesives

As the name indicates this kind of adhesives is based on one component (i.e. it is delivered as one mixture). The curing agent is either incorporated in the mixture in an inactive state or "delivered" from the environment. The curing is initiated for example by heat, humidity from the air, by use of UV-light or visible light. It is possible to get adhesives that cure at room temperature as well as at higher temperature [C22]. Pot life is often a little longer than for two-component adhesives, while shelf life is reduced.

2.2.4.2 Two component thermosetting adhesives

The resin and the curing agent are here delivered separately. Just before use the two components are mixed and the curing reaction is initiated - normally accelerated by heating. Also in this group of adhesives, there are adhesives available which can be cured at room temperature.

A disadvantage of the two-component adhesives is the handling of the chemicals, complicated by the fact that it is important to obtain the right ratio of the two components in the final mixture. Also a very short pot life is normal for these adhesives, while a long shelf life can be achieved.

2.2.4.3 The polymer matrix in thermosetting adhesives

The resin most frequently used for thermosetting adhesives - one-component as well as two-component adhesives - are epoxy-based, polyamide based or silicone based. In the following typical curing agents and chemical reactions are discussed for each resin type.

2.2.4.4 The epoxy-based polymeric matrix

All epoxy resins are characterised by one chemical group very likely to react with other chemicals:





Figure C4 Typical steps of the synthesis of a Bisphenol-A resin [C37]

Examples of curing agents are Amines, acrylic (no rings) or cyclic (ring structure connected to nitrogen), as one of the most frequently used curing agents. The amino group reacts with the epoxy group forming n-hydroxyamino group's [C18]. If tertiary amines or halogenamincomplexes are used it is possible to achieve an adhesive containing a high degree of cross-linking resulting in stability towards chemicals but also in low flexibility.

Anhydrides are another example of curing agents used. **Here the result is a diester structure**, which means that the cured adhesive becomes very sensitive towards moisture. The temperature needed for the curing reaction is higher than for amine [C18].

2.2.4.5 The silicone based polymeric matrix

Silicone (polyorganosiloxane) based adhesives contain a network of the following chemical group:



where R and R' often are a mixture of methyl- and phenyl groups in addition to groups that make cross-linking possible.

Curing takes place in the presence of humidity in the case of one-component adhesives. Curing time is measured in hours or days. When two-component adhesives are used instead, the curing is very fast and can take place at room temperature.

The resulting adhesive is very elastic, has good stability towards chemicals and heat but its adhesion ability is relatively poor compared to the epoxy adhesives [C43].

2.2.4.6 The polyamide based polymeric matrix

The chemical structure in polyamide based adhesives consists of a high degree of ring structure, which makes its flexibility very limited. But good stability under heat is achieved. The inherent amount of chlorides and other ionic compounds in the polyamide resin is less than in epoxy resin (C6, C18).

The curing temperature is above 200°C (in [C18] the minimum temperature is stated to be 230°C) and often pressure is applied (0.8 - 1 MPa). This high curing temperature is a limiting factor in relation to the use of this adhesive as substitution for solder, because one of the required properties of the adhesives is the low temperature curing - reducing the risk of terminal stress.

Furthermore, the handling of the raw m. materials must be carried out at reduced temperatures and it must be stored at -20°C [C43].

2.2.5 Thermoplastic adhesives

Thermoplastic adhesives are characterised by their ability to melt after curing when exposed to heat. Thermoplastic adhesives are based on a polymer dispersed in a solvent. The curing is a drying process, where heating evaporates the content of solvent; thus no chemical reaction takes place. Examples of polymers used are Polyamides (nylon), polythene's, PVC and polyimidosilozane.

Examples of solvents are Butylcellosolvacetate, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone.

Thermoplastic adhesives have virtually unlimited shelf life at room temperature. The special elastifying effect of heating makes this type of adhesive advantageous in relation to its ability to reduce thermal stress in the joint. Furthermore, rework can be easily carried out (C26, C1). A disadvantage is a relatively longer curing time compared with most thermosetting adhesives.

2.2.6 Electrically conductive filler particles

As described in section 1.8.1.1 filler particles are incorporated into the polymer structure in order to make the adhesive electrically conductive? These filler particles vary in shape and size as well as in material composition. These factors influence the properties of the adhesive and are continually refined by the

development of new products. In the following some basic information regarding the filler articles used is presented.

2.2.6.1 Material

Basically all metals can be used as electrically conductive filler materials. However, each of the metals is characterised by different factors that make them more or less suitable as filler material in electrically conductive adhesives.

Some relevant factors are electrical conductivity, ageing mechanisms, health risk and, of course, costs.

The volume resistivity of some metals is shown in table C10.

Table C1 Volume resistivity [C6]

METAL	VOLUME RESISTIVITY (S-cm)
Silver	$1.6 \cdot 10^6$
Copper	$1.8 \cdot 10^6$
Gold	$2.3 \cdot 10^6$
Aluminium	$2.9 \cdot 10^6$
Nickel	$10 \cdot 10^6$
Platinum	$21.5 \cdot 10^6$
Graphite	$1.3 \cdot 10^6$

Silver has high electrical conductivity. The metal forms a silver oxide layer at the surface. This layer, unlike many other oxide layers, has good electrical conductivity. Due to this special characteristic the ageing of the silver flakes will not result in significantly reduced conductivity in a composition including flakes. A disadvantage of silver is its tendency to migrate. Furthermore, it is relatively expensive.

Copper has good conductivity and is not very expensive but in the presence of oxygen it will form a continually growing oxide layer, which reduces the electrical conductivity of the composition. This means that copper cannot offer stability with regard to electrical conductivity.

Gold has lower conductivity than silver and is much more expensive but it has one great advantage compared to silver and that is a very low ability to migrate. Gold forms as silver a thin and relatively conductive oxide layer.

Aluminium has the same tendency of forming an oxide layer resulting in reduced electrical conductivity as with copper.

Nickel forms well-defined oxides layer. Both for nickel and copper the relatively low electrical conductivity limits the use of this material to low cost applications that do not require high electrical conductivity. Furthermore, work with nickel presents a health risk for the operators. It can cause allergy and nickel dust is found to be carcinogenic [C17].

Silver is found to be the most frequently used filler particle material in electrically conductive adhesives for SMT. In [C18] it is found that in 158 out of 201 electrically conductive adhesives silver is used as filler material. In 12 adhesives gold is used, 8 types use nickel, 5 types use copper and in 18 adhesives other materials are used.

Gold is often chosen for use in military and space electronics (hybrid circuits) to avoid the risk of silver migration.

In addition to the pure metals attempts have been made to develop composites for use as filler material. Examples are copper and glass plated with silver, and coated Reformativ.

2.2.6.2 Particle shape and size

It is not only the choice of filler material but also its size and shapes that influence the properties of the final adhesive. Some of the properties that can be influenced by particle size and shape are electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity, tensile strength, viscosity, weight loss and rheology.

The productions of silver flakes are often based on silver powder, which is mechanically worked using fluid energy milling or ball milling. The latter is the most frequently used [C32].

Fluid energy milling is a method in which a mixture of silver powder and surfactant is accelerated in a stream of compressed air or superheated steam. When the particles collide under the turbulent flow, the densification takes place (particle size decreases but density increases).

In ball milling the silver powder mixed with solvent and surfactant is tumbled in a rotary mill loaded with balls. When collision occurs the powder is flaked. The chosen solvent must have high flash point and low vapour pressure because of heat generation. The surfactant used must be soluble in the solvent to ensure even distribution. Often fatty acids are used as surfactant [C32].

In both milling methods a surfactant is used either to prevent agglomeration (fluid energy milling) or to prevent cold welding (ball milling). A part of this surfactant is absorbed at the surface of the flakes produced resulting in a coating that can affect the properties of the final flake. It is very important for the resulting conductivity of the adhesive that the surfactant shows compatibility with the resin.

The size of the resulting flakes, after cleaning and drying is reported to be 2-30 μm . [C32] states: 2-20 μm , after 50 hours, where most is in the interval of 2-12 μm . [C43] states 5-30 μm no milling time is specified.

Three other manufacturing processes for silver filler particles are mentioned in [C43], these are:

- Chemical reduction of an alkaline silver nitrate solution - the resulting flake size being 0.5 - 10 μm .
- Electrochemical cathodic precipitation in nitrate or sulphate solution - the resulting flake size being 1.2 - 50 μm .
- Atomisation. Melted silver is induced into a high speed water- or gas flow -resulting in flakes that is 5 - 100 μm .

The electrical conductivity of an isotropic adhesive is dependent on the amount of contact points between the filler particles. This means that the conductivity will increase with increased amount of filler particles. However, as the amount of filler increases the amount of polymer must obviously decrease and this means that there is a limit for the amount of filler that can be used without too much decrease in the properties of the polymer matrix (adhesion and tensile strength) [C12]. 80 wt% silver is found to be the limit in epoxy adhesives [C43].

The achieved particle size influences the amount of filler material that provides the optimum balance between electrical conductivity and tensile strength. In [C9] it is found that "the larger the flake particles, the greater the number of contacts. " This means that larger particles, when formed as flakes, should be preferred to smaller ones (tap density, packing ability of flakes, increase with increased particle size).

With regard to viscosity the following model is presented in [C9]: "As the flake particles become larger, the increase in frictional forces between particle surface results in higher viscosity's. In region 1 of fig. 4.4 the flake surfaces are not completely wetted; therefore the flakes tend to slide over each other. At point A, a

maximum viscosity is achieved where the flake surfaces are completely wetted. At this point, the flakes stop sliding over each other and start forming agglomerates. Therefore, in region 2 there is a decrease in viscosity because the result of agglomeration is a net decrease in the number of free moving particles". (It seems from results in the article that flake size above 2.4 :m results in region 2 behaviour)




Figure C5 Describes the relationship between flake size and viscosity [C9]

With regard to filler particle **shape** some influence on rheology, conductivity and tensile strength is also observed. The use of spherical filler particles decreases the viscosity while flakes, needles and fibres offer ideal overlapping conditions for isotropic adhesives (C28, C12). The manufacturer therefore can optimise the adhesive to a specific use by mixing filler particles with different shapes.

In addition, the tensile strength of the final adhesive is found to be influenced by the properties of the surface of the filler particles/coatings. In [C42] it is found that when nickel is used as filler, the strength increases until 40 wt% is added, whereas for silver it only increases up to 10 wt%. This is explained by the rough surface of nickel compared to a smoother surface of silver. This must only be taken as an example of the relationship between surface conditions and strength and not as a general rule in comparing nickel with silver.

2.2.7 Additives

In addition to the previously described substances some additives are also added to the formulation of most adhesives. The most important additives are substances used for optimising flexibility and rheology (workability).

2.2.7.1 Reactive solvents

In order to optimise the rheology of an adhesive, for example for achieving good dispensing properties it may be desirable to dilute the resin in order to reduce the viscosity. For thermoplastic adhesives this is done by simple physical dissolution. However, this is not the solution for thermosetting adhesives because simple physical dissolution will ruin the curing process and voids in the joint will be the result. Alternatively, reactive solvents can be added. Reactive solvents are substances that contain epoxy groups the viscosity of which is very low. These substances react with the resin and are incorporated in the polymer matrix, which means that they also influence the properties of the cured adhesive [C12].

Depending on the reaction rate of different reactive solvents a small or larger amount of the substances will evaporate during the curing process. This phenomenon affects the weight loss and the risk of weakness in the final joint. Furthermore, it will damage the environment, especially with regard to the working environment.

The optimal choice of reactive solvents is one that has low viscosity (a smaller amount of the substance is needed for lowering the viscosity of the total resin), the boiling point of which is as high as possible - reducing the rate of evaporation and with optimal reaction rate related to curing conditions chosen. Finally the effect on the cured polymer matrix of the reactive solvents chosen must be taken into consideration.

In [C43] it seems that an amount of about 3 wt% of the adhesives is reactive solvent. Information given from Degussa indicates an amount of reactive solvents at 1-7 wt% of the adhesive.

As reactive solvent glycidylether is often used [C53] (not specified for electrically conductive adhesives but related to general epoxy technology).

2.2.7.2 Flexibility compounds

In order to minimise defects caused by mechanical or thermal stress a flexible joint is preferable. In a flexible joint it is possible for example to absorb movements of the substrate with reference to the component the result being reduction of stresses in the joint and in the component.

Joints based on thermoplastic adhesives are flexible due to the fact that no true and irreversible chemical reaction has taken place; hence reversible movement/displacement in the joint material is possible.

However, thermosetting adhesives are inflexible in themselves due to the three-dimensional network formed when the adhesive is cured. Molecules bonded in this network cannot move much unless the polymeric structure is broken. For flexibility of these joints it is possible to add some flexible compounds.

Additives that can increase the flexibility of the adhesive are flexible compounds often containing an epoxy group that is able to react with the epoxy resin or the curing agent the result being incorporation in the final polymer structure. The effect of the compounds is explained by the fact that the resulting polymer structure contains larger meshes than without flexible compounds incorporated, making the molecules more able to move [C12].

In [C12] polyglycoldiepoxydes, epoxydized polybutadines, butadienacrylnitrilrubber-epoxide and polyurethane modified epoxides are mentioned as examples of flexible compounds.

2.3 DISCUSSION OF PARAMETERS RELEVANT TO THE EVALUATION OF THE PROPERTIES OF AN ADHESIVE

In this section parameters are discussed relating to the evaluation electrically conductive adhesives as a substitute for solder for SMT on rigid PCB. Furthermore, the influence of different aspects such as material composition, curing conditions etc. at these parameters are evaluated.

2.3.1 Electrical conductivity

Electrical conductivity is often evaluated by measurements of specific volume resistivity (Σ -cm) of the material. Volume resistivity can be measured for example in accordance with the method described in (C44). In (C44) the general requirements for electrically conductive adhesives for use in hybrid microcircuits are stated to be # 5 P 10^{-4} Σ -cm for silver-filled and # 1.5 P 10^{-3} Σ -cm for gold-filled adhesives at the following temperatures: 25°C, 60°C, 150°C after 1000 h at 150°C in a nitrogen ambient (current density of 139.5 amps/cm² shall be applied during the 1000 h).

The volume resistivity of solder (63 tin/37 lead) is 1.5 P 10^{-5} Σ -cm (C4).

The specific volume resistivity is determined according to the following formula:

$$P = R (W P t) / l$$

P = resistivity in Σ -cm

R = measured resistance, ohms

W = width in cm

T = thickness in cm

L = length between inner pair of probes in cm (C44)

By this formula it can be seen that if P for the adhesive is a factor ten higher than for solder, the measured resistance of the adhesive relative to the resistance of the solder ($R_{\text{adh}}/R_{\text{sold}}$) will be even higher, because the thickness of the adhesive needed compared to the thickness of the solder is less. This is in contrast to the statement in (C18), where it is said that for:

$$P_{\text{sold}} = 1.7 P \cdot 10^{-5} \Sigma\text{-cm} \text{ and } P_{\text{adh}} = 16.7 P \cdot 10^{-5} \Sigma\text{-cm}$$

$$t_{\text{sold}} = 120 \text{ :m} \text{ and } t_{\text{adh}} = t_{\text{adh}} = 20 \text{ :m}$$

$$\text{Then } R_{\text{adh}}/R_{\text{sold}} = 1.63$$

This is explained by the fact that the formula in [C44] is developed for resistance measurements in the horizontal direction, whereas in [C18] the adhesive is used as joint material and the relevant resistance is in the vertical direction. This means that I in the formula here is the thickness of the adhesive layer.

In [C3] an example of the relationship between volume resistivity and content of conductive




Figure C6 The relationship of adhesive volume resistivity and conductive filler content [C3]

For isotropic adhesives the optimal amount of filler particles is 70 - 80 wt%.

Since the contact pressure between the filler particles influences the resistivity it is also influenced by the structure of, and the internal stress, in the polymer matrix. When substances that have long chains are used as curing agent or as reactive solvents the network in the polymer matrix gets less compact and this often leads to an increase in the volume resistivity. The internal stress in the polymer matrix is influenced among other factors by the curing conditions. Higher curing temperature results in increased stress leading to increased contact pressure and thereby decreased contact resistance (C12, C25).

Results of experiments described in [C29] state that the polymer matrix is very dependent on the mix ratio of resin and curing agent (two component epoxy). In relation to electrical performance it is said that "Mixtures containing 50% or less resin by weight exhibit very low, very stable bond resistances while those containing greater than 50% resin behave rather poorly, both initially and after exposure to temperature" (meaning burn-in at 125°C for up to 1000 h). Bond resistance: Collector - emitter saturation voltage on 2N2222 transistors was used as a measure of the epoxy's electrical performance (the **adhesive is here used** as die attach material).

<LINK TO 2.3.13.1>

2.3.2 Thermal conductivity

Thermal conductivity is measured directly and may be expressed in W/mK or Cal/cm-sec-°C.

High thermal conductivity is desirable because increased thermal conductivity results in decreased risk of build up of thermal stress in the component and in the joint.

In [C44] the general required value for adhesives for hybrid circuits is stated to be > 1.5 W/mK [C18]. The value for solder is about 51 W/mK and is normally between 1-5 W/mK for adhesives, although higher values can be achieved [C18]. As for the electrical conductivity the thinner layer of adhesive compared to that of solder results in a decreased difference between the solder and the adhesive in the vertical direction, the adhesive has generally a factor 2-5 lower value compared to solder in this direction [C18].

The heat is as for the electric current primarily transported through the metal particles. This means that the aspects that can influence the thermal conductivity are the same as described for electrical conductivity.

2.3.3 Coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE)

The coefficient of thermal expansion is expressed in ppm/ °C. It is a factor that is of great importance in relation to the risk of failures occurring due to thermal mismatch. The failure mechanism is related to the occurrence of stress in the materials such as those due to differences in the expansion of die and package when exposed to elevated temperatures or changes in temperatures [see section 3.2].

The optimum situation is therefore to develop materials where the CTE values of substrate, bonding material and component match each other. In table C2 below the CTE value is shown for a few relevant materials.

Table C2 Showing the CTE value of different materials “claimed by some epoxy adhesive manufacturer”. However, in the article Herb Kravs from Ablestik Laboratories states that there are few conductive epoxies the CTE value of which is below 40 ppm/°C (C10). This corresponds to [C43] where CTE is reported to be between 37-07 ppm/°C (T=0-100°C)

Thermal properties	
Material	Thermal coefficient of expansion (ppm/C)
Ceramic chip carrier	5-7
63/37 SN/Pb solder	25
Conductive epoxy	15-20
Epoxy-fiberglass board	12-16

If the adhesive is an epoxy and the glass transition temperature (T_g) is exceeded, the CTE value increases dramatically. Furthermore, results reported in [C31] show that the CTE value increases when the amount of resin in proportion to curing agent is increased.

The filler particles influence the CTE value of conductive adhesives. Increased amount of particles result in a decreased CTE [C42]. Furthermore, it is also stated in [C42] that "particle size has been reported to affect indirectly the thermal expansion through adhesion quality. The particle properties, such as the particle shape, surface energy, etc. also play a role."

2.3.4 Reworkability

The possibility for rework is essential if conductive adhesives are to be declared suitable for SMT assembling. It must be possible to remove one failed or misplaced component and replace it with a new device even under field service conditions. Furthermore, it would be desirable if solder could also be used for repair in an assembly where adhesives are used for mounting.

There is a great difference between the possibility for repair of thermoplastic and thermosetting adhesives, respectively. Thermoplastic adhesives become by their nature flexible when heated and the component should easily be removed. However, this is not the case for thermosetting materials. When studying the literature it seems that experience about reworkability of thermosetting, especially epoxy-based adhesives, is very different.

Local heating to above the glass transition temperature makes it possible to remove the component. A useful indicator of reparability is a plot of die shear strength vs. temperature.

There seems to be agreement about the fact that it is possible to remove a component from the PCB even after the adhesive is fully cured. However, the question is how easy it is to remove residual adhesive from the board, if necessary.

In [C8] it is said that "adhesive residues on the board may hinder position and reinstallation of components", in [C14] it is stated that "most of the adhesive remains on the circuit and it must be removed or flattened," whereas in [C39/2] this is not considered to be a problem. In [C39] it is said that "Conductive epoxy can be easily heated above its glass transition temperature... The defective components can be removed and new components attached - with no additional steps. This rework technique is used successfully in the hybrid industry to manufacture military screened devices. " One reservation related to the statement in [C39] should be noted, the article is from 1984 and the discussions are based on the supplementary use of a non-conductive adhesive for mechanical strength.

Removal of residual resin by plasma, solvent or abrasion is suggested in [C14B] for anisotropic adhesives. Furthermore, testing and rework prior to post curing is suggested to facilitate repair.

However, there is a difference between the substrates used, ceramic, polyester or epoxy, and it can very well be that rework is easily carried out on hybrid circuits (ceramic substrates) but not on PCBs. Methylenechloride is often used as a solvent for epoxy and one should therefore be able to remove residuals from ceramic substrates by this solvent but when methylenechloride is used on PCBs the boards can easily be damaged.

At a seminar at EC concerning electrically conductive adhesives (1992.03.19) repair was discussed. There was a general agreement not to use chemicals for removal of residuals from the PCB. If residuals should be removed mechanical methods must be applied. Hence, if residuals are not removed it is important that it is not degenerated, which means that T_g must not be exceeded by more than about 20-30°C.

Furthermore, the T_g of the substrate has also to be taken into consideration.

A manufacturer stated that smear of the residue should not be a problem but the failed devices shall be removed by, for example, the use of vacuum.

2.3.5 Rheology

Rheology is a way to describe the workability of an adhesive. In some situations the rheology can be described by the viscosity of an adhesive, in other situations the thixotropy of an adhesive is used. It is meaningless to talk about viscosity if the adhesive is thixotropic. Thixotropic materials are characterised by the fact that when not stirred they are form stable but when they are mechanically worked, stirred, they become more or less viscous [C11].

By optimisation of the amount and the properties of filler particles and by use of different additives it should be possible to achieve a suitable rheology for either screen printing, stencil and syringe [C13].

In most applications the adhesive is screen printed to the substrate. For screen-printing a viscosity of about 100,000 centipoises is required [C10].

2.3.6 Ionic impurities

Ionic impurities are of interest because the presence of Cl⁻, F⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, NH₄⁺, acetate or other anions of organic acids increases the risk of corrosion and migration in the presence of moisture.

Often the amount of ionic impurities is stated as a total amount expressed in ppm NaCl and afterwards split up into ppm Cl⁻ etc.

The amount of ionic impurities is influenced by the cleanliness of the resin used and of the substance chosen as curing agent and other additives. In continuation of this the mix ratio between resin and curing agent may also be of concern. In [C31] an example is given, where the content of chloride ions increases dramatically if the weight % of resin is below 40%. Below this mix ratio the excess of curing agent is easily hydrolysable which explains the high ionic impurity.

In [C44] the general requirements for adhesives for hybrid circuits are stated to be:

Total ionic content
pH
Chloride
Sodium
Potassium

6.7

< 1000 ppm (as NaCl)
4.0 to 9.0
' 300 ppm
~ 50 ppm
< 5 ppm (in (18) this value is 50 ppm)

2.3.7 Weight loss

Curing of the adhesive, both thermosetting and thermoplastic, results in a slight decrease in the weight of the adhesive. This weight loss is caused by the evaporation of solvent, or reactive solvents. In general, the weight loss is highest for thermoplastic adhesives, where the total amount of solvent must evaporate in order for the adhesive to cure.

It is desirable to minimise the weight loss both in consideration of technical and environmental aspects. When substances evaporate from the joint it becomes porous, which affects both mechanical and electrical properties. In relation to the environment organic solvents or reactive solvents may cause health risk and/or pollution if present in larger amounts [C12].

The weight loss is dependent on the amount of organic solvents or reactive solvents that evaporates during curing; this leads to the obvious conclusion that the amount of these substances in the adhesive should be minimised. For the reactive solvents it means that its own viscosity should be as low as possible.

In section 4.6.1 it was said that the boiling point of the reactive solvents should be high in order to reduce the evaporation rate. This statement is true in itself but experiments referred to in [C12] show that this is not always the right conclusion. The same amount of nine different reactive solvents was used for nine samples of adhesives. Viscosity of the final adhesive, and the resulting weight loss are measured and plotted as shown in fig. C7. In the figure the boiling point of the reactive solvents decreases with decreasing viscosity.




Figure C7 [C12] Showing the relationship between viscosity and weight loss. Boiling point of the reactive solvent decreases with decreasing viscosity.

2.3.8 Flexibility

In section 4.6.2 the preference for a flexible joint was discussed and how this could be reached by adding flexible compounds. However, also the curing agent, curing conditions and the ratio between resin and curing agent influence the flexibility of the final joint. The higher the degree of cross-linking in the polymeric structure the less is the flexibility of the adhesive.

The more flexible the thermosetting adhesive becomes the less is the mechanical strength of the adhesive [C31].

2.3.9 Die shear strength

Die shear strength is a measurement of the adhesion between die, adhesive and substrate. It can be measured in N/mm².

The mechanism of adhesion is explained by different theories. Most relevant for adhesion between polymer and metal is the adsorption theory: "Chemical forces such as van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonding allow the adhesive to bind the substrates to its surface" [C7]. In this theory it is stated that perfect adhesion only can occur if the surface tension of the substrate is greater than the surface tension of the adhesive [C22]. This is the explanation of the effect on the adhesion that is provided for example by the oxide layer of copper.

In general, the shear strength is better for thermosetting materials compared to thermoplastic adhesives. The shear strength decreases when the temperature increases [C14c].

For epoxy-based adhesives it is reported that the shear strength increases when the resin ratio is increased [C29, C12]. This also leads to the conclusion that the most rigid epoxy exhibits the highest shear strength.

The shear strength for solder (Sn60Pb) is about 35 N/mm² [C18] values reported for adhesives are up to 25 N/mm², in general, between 7 - 14 N/mm² [C18].

2.3.10 Substrate

This project is concerned with SMD mounting on rigid PCBs. The rigid boards are most frequently glass epoxy laminates. The conductor elements are normally made of copper, coated with solder at the pads in order to prevent oxidation of the copper before mounting of the components.

Soldered surfaces may result in poor adhesive bonding although reports stating that this problem is solved are given. Conductive epoxy will work with lightly oxidised surfaces, this may lead to the conclusion that another material is needed as a protection layer at the copper to prevent the formation of a thicker oxide layer, such as when exposed to temperature ageing. Silver plating is recommended for this purpose [C10].

Ageing of the substrate as well as component terminations has therefore to be considered.

2.3.11 Glass transition temperature

The glass transition temperature (T_g) is the temperature, where the material changes from a hard "glassey" form to a "rubbery" form. At T_g a change in the segmental mobility of the polymer chains occurs. Above T_g there is sufficient mobility enabling large-scale **reorganisation of chains to occur** in response to an applied stress (e.g. a change of temperature). Whereas below T_g the chains are "frozen" in position. T_g is **material dependent but it is** influenced by the curing conditions [C11].

The higher the degree of cross-linking in the polymer structure the higher is T_g . This means that reactive solvent and flexibility compounds consisting of small chains and high viscosity of the total adhesive result in high T_g values.

Shear strength decreases when T_g is exceeded while flexibility increases [C11].

Typical values of T_g lie between 45°C and 180°C (C4). On account of the reworkability it is advisable to choose an adhesive with a T_g below the T_g of the board material but still, of course, above the operating temperature (C4).

2.3.12 Cost

The cost of electrically conductive adhesives is very dependent on the filler material used. Silver, the most frequently used filler material is expensive compared to solder. In [C45] from 1991 the cost of solder is stated to be 8C/10g, whereas the conductive adhesive is 20C-50C/g.

The production cost should be reduced due to a reduced number of production steps required. Furthermore, the density of adhesives versus solder is much less and adhesives require a thinner layer. In [C45] it is said that "on a consistent set of assumptions for fine pitch the material for solder, ICAs and ACAs was calculated to be 0.8 10⁻³, 0.4 10⁻³C and 1 · 10⁻³C, respectively ...not including the cost of cleaning materials that solder might require" (ICA: Isotropic conductive adhesives, ACA: Anisotropic conductive adhesives). In [C45] costs like 5C/cm² for ACAs and 8C/cm² for ICAs are mentioned.

Furthermore, the price of components that are not pre-tinned and possibly a new plating material for the PCBs must be taken into consideration.

2.3.13 Reliability

The reliability of an assembly must be evaluated in each specific case because the reliability of a joint can be influenced by many factors and can therefore vary from one case to another.

Where reliability is concerned factors such as electrical and thermal conductivity, shear strength and migration/corrosion are of interest.

The reliability can be evaluated by carrying out different environmental tests such as thermal ageing, thermal cycling/shock, humidity ageing and HAST test.

2.3.13.1 Electrical conductivity

Electrical conductivity is influenced by ageing mechanisms altering the surface of the electrically conductive filler particles, or mechanisms occurring in the interface between adhesive and component terminal or substrate. Furthermore, the conductivity may be influenced by alterations occurring in the polymer matrix.

The ageing mechanism of the surface of the filler particle is an oxidation, which leads to a more or less thick oxide layer that may result in decreased conductivity. This is especially relevant for filler particles of copper or materials coated with copper (see section 4.5.1). Furthermore, there may be a problem of the adhesion between the layers, if particles such as silver plated copper are used.

With regard to substrate and component terminal, oxidation can also occur causing an increase in the resistivity. Furthermore, if the substrate or component terminal is pre-tinned certain investigations [C47] indicate that an amorphous layer of tin/lead is formed in the interface between adhesive and terminal leading to reduced conductivity, and this is further discussed under “shear strength”.

The polymer matrix may be influenced by exposure to humidity during pressure cooker test, which results in swelling reducing the contact between filler particles and therefore reducing the conductivity [C25]. Furthermore, in [C10] it is said that “the epoxy resin tends to selectively form a resin-rich, insulation layer around a wire. An electrical joint could exhibit high resistance, either immediately or eventually. This problem can be expected with silver epoxy attached leads”.

2.3.13.2 Shear strength

The shear strength may, as for the conductivity, be influenced by reactions occurring in the interface between adhesive and substrate or component terminal.

2.3.13.3 Ageing of substrate or component

Ageing of the substrate or the component before assembly leading to build up of an oxide layer reduces the resulting shear strength because of alteration in surface tension and thereby of the wetting conditions of the adhesive to the substrate. In [C35] nickel-plated chip capacitors have been aged under different conditions. The resulting Ni-oxide layer is determined in comparison to one week old components by use of Augerspectroscopy. Fig. C8 shows the results obtained.




Figure C8 Comparison of Ni-oxide layers of aged components [C35]

Table C3 Results of testing shear strength of aged components [C35]

Exp. No.	Storage conditions	Shearing strength
1	Fresh prepared component	>80N
2	Storage 15 month room temp.	>80N
3	Temp. storage 155C/16h	>80N
4	Humidity storage 100C/100%/4h	>80N
5	Humidity storage 100C/100%/8h	>70N
6	Humidity storage 100C/100%/8h	>30N

2.3.13.4 Pre-tinned surfaces

There seems to be special problems related to adhesion to pre-tinned surfaces. By testing standard soldered components joined to a silver-filled conductor using commercially available adhesives at 60°C and 95% RH electrical degradation of the component adhesive junctions were revealed [C14a]. The resistance was found to increase 200-300 :m for some junctions after 1000 hours test. In other literature the rise in resistance is seen as the first step before adhesion also decreases.

2.3.14 Environmental tests

Considering the effect of the different environmental tests the following can be said:

2.3.14.1 Thermal ageing

In theory this should on one hand lead to an increased resistance due to possible oxidation problems or other alterations taken place in the interfaces. However, on the other hand postcure of the adhesive results in a tightening in the polymer matrix, which increases the contact pressure between particles and **thereby the total conductivity may be increased.**

Results of test described in [C31] state that temperature ageing of the adhesive reduces resistivity at any mix ratio. Similar results are obtained in [C25].

With regard to the resistivity of the total assembly results are given in [C35]. Here the ESR (Equivalent Series Resistance) of adhered chip capacitors with nickel coated terminations are evaluated before and after temperature storage. The results show an increase in the ESR values after temperature ageing.

However, in [C14a] the opposite results are achieved. Here a decrease of resistivity of 7.5% after 500 h at 85°C is obtained (pre-tinned surfaces).

2.3.14.2 Temperature shock/cycling

Both tests will have the same effect on the polymer matrix as described under temperature ageing. Build up a stress in the adhesive will result in decreased resistivity. But cracks may occur if the CTE value of the different materials included is not matched properly. In [C50] it is reported that “in general the adhesive joints seem to have minor defects, when solder joints in some cases were broken or had at least cracks”. These observations were made after temperature cycling between -40°C and $+125^{\circ}\text{C}$. It is furthermore concluded that “the resistance of joints is clearly higher and much more unstable than with solder joints” (the resistance increases).

2.3.14.3 Humidity storage

The mechanisms seem to be more or less the same as for temperature ageing. However, under biased condition it is possible to evaluate the risk of silver migration.

2.3.14.4 Pressure cooker test/HAST

This may, as described earlier, lead to swelling and therefore reduced conductivity and shear strength. Furthermore, the possibility of alterations such as oxidation of the flakes or substrate due to penetration of moisture and oxygen should be considered (no evaluation of this aspect is found).

2.4 THE ADVANCE OF ADHESIVE TECHNOLOGY FOR SMT ON RIGID PCB

Electrically conductive adhesives have been used for many years as die attach material for IC components and as bonding material in hybrid technology. Because of its proven reliability it has virtually replaced eutectic and solder techniques and is also used for “high-rel” military devices [C2].

The conductive adhesive is accepted for hybrid technology, and it must therefore be relevant to discuss the difference in using the material for hybrid circuits, which implies ceramic substrates, and for rigid PCBs.

The substrate frequently used for polymer thick film is a relatively small ceramic sheet, where polymeric material is added as conductor elements. This means that the risk of mechanical stress due to flexing is minimal compared to the use of the larger PCB assemblies.

Furthermore, the polymer adhesive bonds in hybrid technology to another polymer material whereas it bonds to a metal, copper or plated copper in the case of PCB technology. The adhesive wets well to the metal but there is a risk of oxidation of the metal surface and of formation of intermetallic layers. Finally the differences in substrate may influence the possibility for rework.

The hybrid is often sealed in a metallic packaging, whereas the PCB is often directly exposed to moisture etc. from the surroundings. This influences the risk of migration.

Going through the literature published about conductive adhesive for SMT on PCBs it seems that many more or less primary experiments have been carried out and many positive results have been reached. In this section a survey of relevant statements/results will be given.

In 1984 Epoxy Technology present their first preliminary results regarding the implementation of the use of conductive adhesives for SMT (C2, C39). They used a silver-filled electrically conductive epoxy-based adhesive and for further mechanical strength a non-conductive epoxy-based adhesive (H20E and H70E-4). The substrate is epoxy/fibre glass/copper and untinned components are used. The adhesive is screen printed and cured at 150-200°C. Preliminary results of board flexure testing is described as encouraging. "Joints showed no signs of cracking, nor did any adhesive failure occur." No other test results are described but rework is stated to be easily carried out.

The possibility of avoiding or minimising the risk of silver migration is briefly discussed in [C10] 1985, where Furane says, "silver migration, though not precluded, is greatly reduced in high purity adhesive systems". From Ablestik it is pointed out that conductive epoxy attachment of leaded devices may present electrical reliability problems due to the epoxy resin that tends to selectively form a resin rich insulation layer around a wire? Further, it is referred to in [C10] that Texas Instruments indicated that conductive epoxy failed in temperature testing.

In [C4] from 1986 it is stated that "A silver-filled adhesive soon to be introduced by Emerson & Cuming, designed for surface mounting components, has a volume resistivity of the order of 10^7 Ω -cm". Furthermore, it is said that Furane Products has developed some new approaches to the oxidation problem of copper filler and that the company offers a product line with copper, nickel, gold and silver fillers (no details of achieved properties are given for this product line).

In [C1, C5] 1987 Emerson & Cuming present a product line of thermoplastic conductive adhesives for SMDs for tinned and copper surfaces. Volume resistivity is from $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ to $6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ Ω -cm, and rework should be easily carried out. Experiments evaluation the relationship between curing time, temperature and "push off" strength are carried out. Highest strength is achieved at 120°C when cured overnight, even though TGA data show that 30 to 60 minutes would be adequate for maximum bonding strength. In the articles the authors claim that "Well within the scope of our basic development, viscosity and thixotropy can be changed; electrical conductivity can fit specific needs; and cure time and temperature can be altered to suit existing convection or IR ovens. Also, adhesive strength can be tailored for specific needs, different solvent bases can be used in the product, and non-conductive adhesives/coatings are available on request. Organic solder for the world's electronic industry is available now".

In [C7] 1988, it is stated in very general terms that "The new conductive surface mount adhesives adhere to tinned surfaces." "They form bonds that are as strong and conductive as metallic solder joints. Furthermore, they have higher fatigue and shock resistance than metallic solder".

Different properties related to material composition are evaluated in [C12]. It is said that the use of conductive adhesives for mounting SMDs on conventional PCBs for communication technology is still not fully developed. Long term reliability aspects remain open.

Poly-Flex Circuits, Inc. presented, e.g. in [C14a] 1990, an electrically conductive adhesive named Poly-Solder (developed in 1989) especially suited for mounting standard solder plated SMDs to a flexible substrate, the conductor material being silver ink. Even though the substrate is flexible and the adhesive is developed with regard to polymer thick film technology, the results are still interesting in relation to the mounting of solder plated components.

Firstly the question as to how the conductivity of other commercially available adhesives decreased due to oxidation problems is considered. Experiments with various commercially available adhesives, where the assembly was exposed to 60°C and 95% RH was carried out. Junction resistance values were initially good but increased after a few hours for most materials, while all showed problems by the 24-hour mark. "The resistance increase was a surprise since the volume resistivity of the silver in conductors showed a small decrease under heat and humidity". "Oxide thickness on the component termination was increasing under the influence of heat and humidity. The increased oxide between the adhesive and component lead produces an electrical resistance barrier". A stable junction was defined as one that does not exhibit an increase in resistance of more than 20% after 1000 hours at 60°C and 95%RH and the newly developed Poly-Solder

was tested given the following results: “ Testing of the new adhesive against this criterion showed reliable connections using ordinary solder plated or solder coated components. Gold and silver plated versions, as would be expected, tested favourably. Even components that were steam aged to simulate shelf life ageing and therefore had much more oxide showed stable junctions during heat humidity tests. In fact, the junction resistance decreased during virtually every test condition”. (Other tests must have been carried out?).

In [C28] 1991 a representative from Poly-Flex Circuits is quoted as saying, “Although Poly-Solder appears to work with PCB substrates other than polyester film, most particularly FRY, what we are offering today is one conductive adhesive with one substrate and one set of assembly rules”.

Experiments and discussions about anisotropy adhesives are referred to in [C14b and C14c] 1990. In [C14b] results for silver terminated components under modest temperature cycling and 85°C/85%RH are stated as encouraging. Devices with solder coated leads subjected to more severe temperature cycling (-65°C to 150°C) failed after 100 cycles due to adhesion loss. These results are not related to PCBs. In [C14c] anisotropy adhesives have been used for making connections for LCD cells. Results of various environmental tests showed that “the connection of 5 lam thickness and large contact area remained unchanged through increased substantially. This is believed to indicate unstable contact that occurs when the connection thickness is too large. From these observations we may conclude that an ACE with deformable particles for the conductive material will develop high enough connection reliability, provided that the bonding process greatly flattens the particles between the electrodes, thereby developing a sufficiently large contact area. “Finally in [C36] it is seen that test of flexible circuits bonded to FR-4 boards using an anisotropy adhesive results in increased resistance during temperature storage. No literature is found concerning anisotropy adhesives tested for SMDs mounted on PCBs.

Examples of users and their experiences with conductive adhesives for SMT are presented in [C45]. Ford, AC/DELCO and Philips are mentioned. All are very optimistic but not quite satisfied with the experience of the adhesives for SMT available now. Ford mentions the brittleness at low temperature as the most difficult characteristic.

In 1991 [C13] Emerson & Cuming, Inc. describes a silver-filled epoxy “UNISSET LC-68” that should be capable of “being applied by stencil, syringe or screen printing and then cured either rapidly, using present solder reflow ovens, or more slowly at temperatures as low as 130°C, to mount transistors, ICS chip capacitors or other passive components on FRY PC boards or on alumina hybrid substrates. These epoxy adhesives have rheology, thermal shock and low temperature cure advantages versus conventional solder. Present disadvantages of epoxies include possible bridging in fine pitch (25 mil or less) packages, silver migration, and heat removal under power devices.




Figure C9 Frequency dependence of equivalent series resistance ESR before and after temperature and humidity storage [C35].




Figure C10 Results testing shear strength of adhesive bonded and soldered chip capacitors after temperature shock [C35]. The limit value of shear strength of soldered SMDs on FR-4 boards is 10N.

Table C4 Results of substrate bending test according to CECC 32100 [C35]. The failure criterion in this test is a reduction in capacitance of more than 2% or visible damage to the capacitor.

Contacts	Connection	Max. transverse bending
Ag/Ni/Sn	Wave – soldered	2mm
	Reflow – soldered	2mm
Ag/Pd	Wave – soldered	2mm
	Reflow – soldered	2mm
Ag/Ni	Adhered	4mm

“In this article it is emphasised that this epoxy adhesive has been toughened for thermal shock resistance, this in contrast to “most of the adhesives presently being recommended for surface mount, were originally formulated for use as die attach adhesives, which are relatively brittle”. But there are two conditions for the statement that UNISSET LC-68 has better toughness and shock resistance than soldered joints and they are that a cleaned substrate/component termination and sufficient epoxy should be deposited to form a good fillet at each side of the tangent point where the leads meet the bond pad. Furthermore, it is emphasised in the article that the substrate and the components used must not be pre-tinned or solder dipped. And that “if considerable time elapses between cleaning the lead and bonding the copper, the lead may be protected against re-oxidation.”

In 1990 [C43] experiments with different formulations of epoxy adhesives are reported see also [C12 and C17]. Adhesion during various environmental tests is evaluated. The conclusion that can be drawn from these experiments is that the stability in adhesion values varies in accordance with substrate as well as the adhesive used. It is therefore recommended that the adhesion properties be evaluated in each specific case.

Adhesion properties are also tested in [C18] 1989 but the substrate here is aluminium and the adhesive is epoxy-based. A temperature cycling (65°, + 150°C, 1000x) is carried out both for adhesive bonded and soldered chip capacitors. The results are shown below in table 8.1. As can be seen the results of the adhesive bonded capacitors are much better than for the soldered capacitors.

Table C5 Results of temperature cycling test of capacitors mounted on an aluminium substrate

Fügematerial	Probenanzahl	Mechanische Ausfälle
Epotek H20E	37	1
Ablebond 58-1	29	1
L Pb50In	40	40
L Sn10Pb	20	15

At Siemens Matsushita Components OHG [C35] 1991 evaluations of the assembling of ceramic chip capacitors with silver/nickel terminations using silver-filled conductive epoxy adhesives were carried out. The adhesive is screen-printed, layer thickness is 150 to 200 Am and curing time is as stated in the data sheet. The assembly is exposed to humidity storage: 4h at 100°C, 100% RH, temperature storage: 16 h at 155°C, temperature shock: -55°C/+125°C, 1000x and bending test. The following results were achieved.

“This technology is not suitable for RF applications because of the lower conductivity of the adhesive compared to soft solder. The mechanical stress ration on the other hand, e.g. the flexural strength of adhered chips is better than that of soldered capacitors by a factor of at least 2. Initial results from tests of long term reliability also confirm that this adhesive technology can replace soldering in many applications in the future”.

Finally one interesting patent from March 1991 shall be mentioned. Westinghouse Electronic Corp. has developed an electrically **conductive** adhesive that is cured by UV for about 8 seconds, filler particle size is less than 16 ~m.

At a seminar at EC, 1992 GRACE presented some results of tests carried out on the adhesive: Amicon 50262-3, adhesion strength was measured after:

10 cycles from -40°C (5 min.) to + 125°C (5 min.) transfer time from high to low temperature or the reverse was long – 1 h.

20 shocks from -40°C (5 min.) to + 125°C (5 min.) here transfer time was “fast”

65 h at 65°C/95%RH

The following was concluded:

1. “Adhesion is very critical for SOT 23 and SO 8 (about 300 g), somewhat less critical for SO 14 ~ adhesion problems are probably due to the configuration of these components”
2. “A cure of 3 minutes at 130°C (1 oven) seems to be sufficient”.
“If adhesion is initially OK ~ still OK after complete testing (thermocycling + thermoshock + climatic test). The adhesion of capacitors is considerably lower after complete testing but still acceptable” (1.1 +/- 0.4 kg for capacitor 1206).

Furthermore, the idea of curing conductive adhesive by use of visible light was presented by Tomas Gawalewicz, MPE Microtech. The adhesives are acrylates or sometimes epoxies containing different photo-initiators and

optional thermal initiators. They cure at light (450-500 nm) and can be cured through the PCB. Cure depth is 10-mm [C51].

In Table C6 a survey of some of the properties of electrically conductive adhesives for SMT is given.

Electrical conductivity	(1) $5 \text{ P } 10^{-3} \text{ } 6 \text{ P } 10^{-5} \Sigma\text{-cm}$ (13) $10^{-4} \Sigma\text{-cm}$ (41a) $3.3 \text{ P } 10^{-4} \Sigma\text{-cm}$ (41b) $1 \text{ P } 10^{-4} \Sigma\text{-cm}$ Thinner layer is needed for bonding when adhesives are used compared to when solder is used
Thermal conductivity	(41a) $1.7 \text{ P } 10^{-2} \text{ cal/cm sec. } ^\circ\text{C}$ (7.1 W/m P K) (43) $2.5 - 3.5 \text{ W/m P K}$ (13) Not sufficient for high power devices
Shear strength	(41a): For 100 P 100 mil. Chip 29 kg. At 25°C and 0.4 kg. At 250°C ($250^\circ\text{C} > \text{Tg}$)
CTE	(41b) $67 \text{ P } 10^{-6} \text{ in/in/}^\circ\text{C}$ ($67 \text{ ppm/}^\circ\text{C}$) Datasheet, Epotek: $40 \text{ P } 10^{-6} \text{ in/in/}^\circ\text{C}$
Rheology	(1) 400.000 – 500.000 centipois or pasty (13) Thixotropic Data sheet, Demetron: $5.500 \pm 1.000 \text{ mPaS}$ ($500.000 \pm 100.000 \text{ centipois}$)
Pitch size	(13) 30 mils (0.7 mm) or greater pitch (45) Best results for isotropic adhesives are 625 :m and 125 :m for anisotropic adhesives. 0.5 mm pitch screening and less has been achieved under lab. conditions.
Repair	(1) Easy rework, generally considered solderable with metallic Solder for field repair
Shelf life	(41a) 4-6 months, -25°C (snapcure version) typical time is 6 months
Pot life	(41b) 40 h at 25°C Data sheet, Demetron typical: 2 weeks
Weight loss	(13) 5 vol % during cure
Ionic impurities	Data sheet, Demetron: Cl^- , Na^+ , $\text{K}^+ < 10 \text{ ppm}$
Tg	(41a) 120°C (41b) 123°C
Assembly technology	(1) Curing: $100\text{-}140^\circ\text{C}$, 1 h additional strength Achieved overnight (2) Screen print, 2 mils. $150^\circ\text{C} - 200^\circ\text{C}$ (13) Curing: Peak temp. $210^\circ\text{C} = > 7 \text{ min.}$ or $155^\circ\text{C} = > 15 \text{ min.}$ (24) Dispersed to copper pads cured 15-20 min. at $85\text{-}95^\circ\text{C}$, Leads pressed through the top of pad to bottom, reheated for comp. curing (35) Screen printing, 80 mesh, thickness: $150\text{-}200 \text{ :m}$ Possible to dispense. $120\text{-}180^\circ\text{C}$, 30 min. 1 h (41a) Screen print. 125°C , 15 sec. (fast cure product) (41b) 150°C , 30 min. $0.4\text{-}2 \text{ kg/cm}^2$
Substrate	(1) Tinned and copper surfaces (13) Not tinned or soldered surfaces (35) Not tinned or soldered surfaces and ceramic terminations are not solder plated (52) Pre-tinned components

Reliability experiments	<p>(2) Flex-testing – preliminary results are encouraging. Joints showed no signs of cracking, nor did any adhesive failure occur (no final results).</p> <p>(13) Thermal shock – better toughness and shock resistance than soldered joints. (Not pretinned and enough epoxy to form a good fillet at each side of the tangent point, where the leads meet the bond pad).</p> <p>(35) Storage of Ni-terminated components, adhesion test: > 15 N after conditions matching 15 months storage at room temperature. Humidity storage and temperature storage of assembly results: Increased ESR values. Temperature shock resulted in decreased values after 100 Cycles. After 1000 cycles values at about 35 N are Achieved. Bending test: Results from adhesives are twice as good as those for solder.</p> <p>(52) Critical adhesion for some types of components (initially). Adhesion after: Thermocycling (-40°C, + 125°C, 10x). Thermoshock (-40°C, + 125°C, 20x) and 65 h at 65°C/95 % RH "still acceptable after complete testing" (lowest value: 1.1 ± 0.4 kg for capacitor 1206).</p> <p>(50) Unstable and higher joint resistance for adhesives compared to solder during temperature cycling (-40°C, + 125°C, up to 1850x) at 1850x failure is reported. Furthermore, the adhesive joints are observed to have "minor defects, when solder joints in some cases were broken or at least had cracks".</p>
-------------------------	---

2.5 RELIABILITY

2.5.1 Reliability investigations of ICA joints

Various groups [see figure 4] have been active in studying the reliability and durability of conductive adhesive joints. The general practice of coding the adhesive systems used and the use of partly different test conditions, hamper a good comparison of the various results. Most of the investigations have been performed by subjecting the adhesive joints to more or less standard test procedures for the various industrial application areas [fig. 5]. Most of these tests are accelerated life tests simulating environmental influence factors and cyclic thermal loads, shock tests etc.

A complicating factor with adhesives compared to soldering is that they are polymeric materials with a glass transition temperature, above which properties are significantly different than below, e.g. higher moisture permeability, higher coefficient of thermal expansion, less cohesive strength, but also higher resiliency.

A very significant difference has been observed in humidity/heat (damp heat) testing and rapid change of temperature testing between tin/lead metallisations and noble metal finishes like Au, AgPd, PdNi. With the noble metallisations increase of resistance value of e.g. 1206 resistors in the climate tests is significantly less or almost nil. [see figs 6 and 7]. However, it was found by Liu et. al. that for larger components such as QFP and PLCCs even with gold metallisation none of the adhesives tested surpassed the -40°C to 125°C thermal cycling test (>1000 cycles). Apparently the adhesive joint strength in these cases is insufficient to withstand the thermal stresses in the joints. Also in drop tests (1m or 1.5m) all adhesives fail with larger components like QFP and PLCC 40. A coating or glob top on the leads appears to give enough improvement to surpass the tests with one adhesive.

On the contrary conductive adhesive joints of capacitors appear to have better performance in harsh thermal cycling tests (-65° to 150°C) than solder.

Most of the adhesives show unacceptable increase in contact resistance using SnPb finishes [see figs. 8 and 9].

Increases in resistance after TC (-40 to 125°C) and TH (85°C/85%RH) in many cases are larger than several ohms. Only for some adhesives, specially developed for SnPb, the behaviour in 85°C/85%RH and TH is quite good [fig. 10]. The results in TC tests however still need improvement.

It has been reported that under the test conditions chosen no Ag-migration is observed and good SIR values are obtained.

The mechanical shear strength of the adhesive joints generally decreases in the temperature/humidity and thermal cycling tests, but in general no direct correlation with the electrical properties is observed.

2.5.2 Reliability of Anisotropic Conductive Adhesives

Only a few studies have been reported about the reliability of anisotropic conductive adhesive joints of SMD components to printed circuit boards. One of the limitations of the ACA(F) adhesives is the need to cure under a contact pressure.

In an investigation by Lijten and van Noort it was found that contrary to the observations for ICAs, anisotropic joints (e.g. with Au coated plastic spheres) of QFP80s on FR-4 (Au) [fig. 11] showed significant increase in resistance values after 85°C/85%RH testing and RCT (-25 to 100°C). Surprisingly with components finished with SnPb [figs 11 and 12], far better results were obtained. It was shown that under the conditions used solder bridges were formed across the Au particles in the ACA, with showed very low and stable resistance values. Recently SnBi filled anisotropic adhesives have become commercially available, that can form micro-solder joints within the adhesive matrix, showing low and stable resistance values. An example from the work of Kivilahti et. Al. of Sn58Bi bonding of flex to flex with NiAu, Cu and Sn finishes is given in figure 13. Results for the SnBi filled adhesive after 60°C/95%RH are quite good in case of Cu and Sn, but worse for Au/Ni due to gold dissolution and Ni corrosion. Neither in TC (-40°C/100°C) a significant resistance increase observed. For flip chip similar results were reported (85°C/85%RH).

2.6 FAILURE CAUSES

Several failure causes have been suggested to explain the resistance increases sometimes observed with conductive adhesives i.e. oxidation of SnPb and Cu, crack formation, a silver depleted surface layer in the adhesive, creep of the adhesive, the formation of intermetallics, and processing failures. In some cases (with water condensation), also silver migration could be a failure cause.

2.6.1 Oxidation and corrosion (SnPb, Cu)

Oxidation of SnPb can be uniform or local. The formation of a > 100 nm thick oxide layer has been reported. Several investigators have also observed local oxidation. In some cases traces of Cl, have been detected at the oxidised/corroded places [see fig. 15]. It has been suggested that the mechanism of SnPb corrosion is by electrochemical cell formation. Resistance increases appear to be more severe for Sn finished products than for SnPb and even less for Pb. The oxidation is also stronger underneath the adhesives than on unprotected SnPb.

It is also claimed that combinations of noble/less-noble metallisations suffer more severely of oxidation/corrosion than combinations of non-noble metals only. This could be due to the electrochemical cell formation.

Oxidation of SnPb may be the direct cause of the sometimes huge resistance increases, or indirectly by inducing crack formation.

In addition, in the case of bare Cu substrates also oxidation of Cu has been observed and considered as a cause of resistance increase.

2.6.2 Crack formation/delamination

The occurrence of cracks in electrically bad performing joints has been observed by many different investigators, after constant temperature/humidity as well as cyclic temperature/humidity testing, and thermal cycling. Some examples for R1206 (SnPb) are given in figure 14-16, for 85°C/85%RH testing, and also in figure 17 after RCT testing. Despite crack formation, the shear strength of the joint may still be considerable [fig. 17]. Figure 18 (Botter) shows that during humidity cycling sudden increases in resistance values may occur in some joints, possibly due to crack formation, while in similar joints the increase is rather smooth.

With AgPd finished resistors, not showing significant resistance increase in the indicated tests, no cracks were observed [fig. 19].

2.6.3 Silver depleted layer

In one case it has been reported that a thin silver depleted layer (> 50 nm) has been observed in the adhesive at the component side after temperature/humidity testing, by Auger analyses in combination with Ar-ion sputtering. The observation was made after cleavage of the joint at the component side. It is also possible that crack formation and some creep of the adhesive occurred.

2.6.4 Creep of the adhesive

Some authors have suggested the possibility of adhesive creep leading to resistance increase during TC testing. This could be due to cyclic shear motion of the component and viscoplastic deformation of the adhesive. The fact however that with a gold finish on component and board no large resistance increase is observed, indicated that it is unlikely that creep is a main cause of the R-increase with SnPb. It has also been observed by several workers that the bulk resistance of the adhesive does not increase significantly in climate tests, but in these no cyclic mechanical load is exerted on the adhesive as in case of bonded components.

2.6.5 Formation of an intermetallic layer

Formation of AgSn Intermediate layers of lower conductivity was suggested by Orthmann et. Al. as the cause of R-increase during hot storage tests at 150°. Other investigators, however, have not observed AgSn during TC and pressure cooker testing.

2.6.6 Ag migration

Several investigators have reported that they did not observe signs of Ag migration during climate testing. It is clear however that silver migration can easily occur if a liquid waterfilm can be formed on the adhesive. If any danger exists for water condensation it is advisable to avoid the risk for silver migration by applying a protective coating or glob top on the joints.

2.6.7 Processing defects

Undercure of the adhesive may lead to resistance increase during reliability testing. Also bad wetting, voids in the adhesive, a very thin bondline, and misalignment can lead to R-increase possibly by crack formation in the adhesive joints [fig. 20].

2.7 THE INFLUENCE ON INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL ENVIRONMENTS

By implementing new technologies there is always the risk of introducing new and possible unknown environmental problems. In this section possible problems and precautions that must be taken, in general,

when electrically conductive adhesives are used for SMT is discussed, in general. Note that it is important to further evaluate risks related to a specific chosen adhesive. Variants that will be chosen for the subsequent part of the project will be individually evaluated.

2.7.1 External environmental aspects

Possible sources for pollution from the production process using adhesives as a substitution for solder and solder paste are organic solvents (thermoplastic adhesive) and reactive solvents/curing agent that may evaporate during curing. Furthermore, in some cases it may be necessary to use solvents for rework and for cleaning of the stencil/screening mask. From this cleaning process residuals of the adhesives are also a possible source of pollution. Finally, residuals in the packing and adhesive beyond shelf life must be eliminated.

In relation to the external environment the amount of organic solvent or reactive solvents/curing agents from the adhesive it is negligible, when thermosetting materials are applied. Especially, because for thermosetting adhesives it is also for technical reasons desirable to reduce the evaporation of those substances from the adhesive. The amount of solvents from thermoplastic adhesives, however, must be taken into consideration.

Today when solder paste is used new paste may be added at the stencil without previous cleaning. This process may continue for about 10 days. Old paste can be removed mechanically. If this process can also be used for adhesives the amount of solvents necessary for cleaning will not increase by substitution from solder to electrically conductive adhesives. The pot life, however, is less for adhesives compared to solder paste and therefore further cleaning may be necessary. However, it was said by one manufacturer that if metal screens were used the amount of waste was limited. Any waste containing organic solder or uncured adhesive must be disposed in accordance with the legislation (in Denmark it has to be sent to Kommunekemi, which is a public waste plant for chemicals). If the amount of adhesive residuals from the stencils is sufficiently high it is for some adhesives, and particularly thermoplastic adhesives, possible to reuse the adhesive, when the bulk of the solvent has evaporated (information from Multicore Solders Limited, about the adhesive HTECA- 1000).

Residuals from packing and adhesives beyond shelf life must be minimised by optimal planning of purchase of the adhesives related to production (as for solder paste). The residues must be sent to Kommunekemi as is also done today for solder paste and used solder baths.

It may be possible to reuse the adhesive or parts of it (the pure metals). Information from METALOR Scandinavia A/S, who are concerned about the reprocessing of metals from materials used in the electronics industry, indicates that it is technically possible to reuse silver. However, a test is necessary in order to evaluate if it is sufficiently profitable and does **not by** itself give rise to more pollution. Due to handling and transport it may be advisable to cure the adhesive, even though this may make the reprocessing more difficult.

At Degussa AG the silver from adhesives beyond their shelf life is reused. The adhesive is burned and from the ash the silver is regained.

As can be seen the substitution of solder with adhesive, and thereby eliminating the use of lead and CRCs, will be an advantage for the external environment, especially if thermosetting adhesives containing no solvents are used. The possible advantages by reuse or reprocessing of the adhesive or parts of it must be further investigated.

2.7.2 Internal environmental aspects

Evaluation of the working environment is very dependent on the adhesive chosen. If a thermoplastic adhesive is used, it is organic solvents evaporating from the uncured adhesive that is of concern. This means that ventilation and/or masks together with gloves must be used.

Especially the risk of allergic eczema is present and skin contact must be avoided, if an epoxy adhesive is used. The special regulations for use of epoxy must be followed. In Denmark: The departmental order No. 199 of 26th March, 1985 from the Danish Working Environment Services concerning epoxy resins and isocyanates. This order gives the basis for instruction No. 3.01.3, June 1988. Both resin, curing agent and possible reactive solvents or other additives can influence the working environment.

In [C53] a general evaluation of the above mentioned substances is presented.

In general, resins consisting of mostly low molecular substances, e.g. Bisphenol-A-epichlorhydrin, are very reactive and by contact they can cause irritation of the skin, eyes or the respiratory passages. Allergy may be the result of repeated skin contact (for some one week is enough while others may be exposed to epoxy for several years before the allergy is developed). An allergy will often last for the rest of one's life. Long term exposure may cause damage to the testicles or bloodforming organs. The vapour pressure of these substances is low which means that exposure by inhalation is very limited.

High molecular resins are less reactive and therefore less harmful. Notice, there will always be a small amount of low molecular resins present.

The curing agent can consist of many different substances. Acrylic amines and cyclic amines are often used (general knowledge about adhesives, no specific information about electrically conductive adhesives). Acrylic amines evaporate very easily and can cause allergy and further increase the risk of epoxy allergy. Some cyclic amines can cause damage of the kidney, allergy and can alter the genes of bacteria. Amineepoxyadduct and polyaminoamide as curing agents are recommended instead of pure acrylic amines.

The reactive solvents are low molecular substances with the same disadvantages as the low molecular resins and furthermore they are often very easily evaporated. Proper ventilation must be used in order to avoid inhalation. The use of these substances must be avoided, if possible. Furthermore, if volatile reactive solvents are present it must be indicated in the safety data sheet.

Both for thermoplastic and thermosetting adhesives the handling of the uncured adhesive is where exposure of some of the above mentioned substances may occur. It is therefore appropriate to evaluate the production process.

When a conductive adhesive is screen printed or stencilled to the PCB the adhesive is normally manually placed onto the stencil/screening mask. The adhesive is then cured in the oven and postcure may take place afterwards. From the oven vapours must be removed by proper air ventilation. After cure the boards are then removed from the oven, often automatically and postcure can take place. There should be proper air ventilation provided also for the postcure.

This means that the operator is in contact with the uncured adhesive when it shall be mixed (if two-component types are used), when placed on the stencil/screening mask and during cleaning of the stencil/screening mask. Furthermore, operators may be in contact with organic solvents and uncured adhesives during rework.

Sufficient precautions must be taken both when handling epoxy and organic solvents. Ventilation must provide a descending air stream because the substance that has to be removed is heavier than air [53]. Furthermore, if contact cannot be avoided (e.g. by use of a dispenser) suitable disposable gloves and special working clothes must be used. Protective cream must not be used under the gloves or instead of gloves. Further: "persons suffering from eczema and persons suffering from a previously diagnosed allergy to epoxy are not allowed to work with epoxy resins". Neither are persons with excessive perspiration of the hands (hyperhidrosis manuum).

In Denmark only persons trained at a special course are allowed to **work with** epoxies.

As can be seen there are several aspects that must be considered with regard to the internal environment. With regard to the internal environment wave soldering technology seems to be favourable but this is not the case when external environment is of concern due to the residue from the solder baths.

LEVEL 3: BACKGROUND INFORMATION (links)

3.1 Reports

“Kamille, Kan miljøet blive renere med ledende limi i elektronikproduktionen?”

Helle Westphal, Dansk Toksikologi Center, Ivan Ring Nielsen, Technoconsult, Henrik L. Hvims
DELTA, august 1995, 95 pages

3.2 Papers

“Conductive Adhesives for SMT and Potential Applications”

Henrik L. Hvims, DELTA Danish Electronics, Light & Acoustics, 7 pages.

“Integration of microsystems using flip chip technologies”

Maja Amskov, Henrik L. Hvims, DELTA Danish Electronics, Light & Acoustics, ISHM Nordic
1986, 6 pages.

“Reliability of electrically conductive adhesive joints for surface mount applications – A
summary of the State of the Art”

Jannes C. Jagt, Philips Centre for Manufacturing Technology Eindhoven, the Netherlands, 24
pages.

LEVEL 4: REFERENCES

- [C1] **Harry, K.**
 “Organic solder – a new type of adhesive/conductor for electronics”.
 1st International SAMPE electronics conference – Electronic materials and processes, pp. 168-179 1987
- [C2] **Estes, R.h, Kulesza, F.W.**
 “Surface mount technology – the epoxyalternative proceedings”
 NEPCON West, 1984 pp. 219-234
- [C3] **Burkhart, A.; Yoshigahara, H.; Sagami, Y; Yamazaki, T.**
 “Conductive polymeric adhesives solve SMD assembly problems”
 Adhesive age Oct. 1990, p. 36-39
- [C4] **Smith-Vago, L.**
 “Adhesives that possess a science all their own”
 Electronic packaging & production, Aug. 1985 p. 48.50
- [C5] **Harry, A. King**
 “Organic solder, chemistry takes the heat off the soldering process”
 Circuits manufacturing 33 Nov. 1987 pp. 33-36
- [C6] **Bolger, Justine C., Morano, Silvio L.**
 “Conductive adhesives: How and where they work”
 Adhesive Age June 1984, pp. 17-20
- [C7] **Small, Charles H.**
 “Adhesives spread to all phases of electronics”
 EDN July 21, pp. 74-82 1988
- [C8] **Murray, Bruce**
 “Choosing the right surface-mount adhesive”
 Machine Design 56:89-93 Oct. 25 1984
- [C9] **Pandiri, Samir M.**
 “The behaviour of silver flakes in conductive epoxy adhesives”
 Adhesives Age, Oct. 1987 pp. 31-35
- [C10] **Pound, Ronald**
 “Conductive epoxy is tested for SMT solder replacement”
 Electronic packaging & production
- [C11] **Hof, Manfred**
 “Klebetchniken in der Mikroelektronik”
 Polytec
- [C12] **Dorbath, B. og Mieskes, H.D.**
 “Development and application of conductive adhesives for new interconnection technologies in electronics”, Demetron, Preceedings from “Verbindungstechnik in der Elektronik/Interconnection technology in electronics”
 Feb. 1990 DVS 129

- [C13] **Bolger, Justine C., McGovern, James F. and Sylva John M.**
 “Conductive epoxy surface mount adhesives for solder replacement”
 Emerson & Cumming Inc.
- [C14a] **Gilleo, Dr. K., Chu, Dr. A-I and Vieau, D.**
 “High stability solderless junctions using advanced conductive adhesives”
 Proceedings from Nepcon west 1991. Feb. 24-28 1991
- [C14b] **Yoshigahara, H., Sagami, Y., Yamazki, T., Burkhart, A. and Edwards M.**
 “Anisotropic adhesives for advanced surface mount interconnection”
 Proceedings from Nepcon west. Feb. 24-28 1991
- [C14c] **Yamagochi, Y. and Kato, M.**
 “Some progress in anisotropic conductive film”
 Proceedings from Nepcon west 1991, Feb. 24-28 1991
- [C14d] **Jagt, J.C.**
 “Adhesive concepts for the fixation of electronic components”
 Adhesion 90, conference 10-12 Sept. 1990 in Cambridge
- [C16] **Christiernin, G.**
 “El-limping av komponenter pa kort snart i sikte”
 Modern Elektronik 3/91
- [C17] **Hennemann, O-D, Mieskes, H., Dorbath, B.**
 “Elektrisch leitendes Kleben in der Elektronik”. (Conductive adhesive bonding in electronics)
 Proceedings from “Verbindungstechnik in der Elektronik/interconnection technology in electronics”, Feb. 1990 DVS 129 pp. 47-30
- [C18] **Wipfelder, E.; Orthmann, K.**
 “Elektrisch leitfähige Klebstoffe für elektronische Bauelemente”. Adhasion 1989 Hett 11 p. 26-30
- [C19] **Costlow, T.**
 “Focus on conductive epoxies”
 Electronic design vol. 34, no. 11 May 1986 p. 181-6
- [C20] **Bourdelaie, R.A.**
 “Solderless alternatives to surface mount component attachment”
 4th International SAMPE Electronics Conference. vol. 4 electronic materials – our future.
 1990 p1-10
- [C21] **E. Hansen, N.J. Busch**
 “CFC forbrugsmønster i Danmark”
 Miljøprojekt nr. 92 1988, Miljøstyrelsen
- [C24] **Anonymous**
 “Application of low silver loading conductive adhesives to surface mounting”
 Research Disclosure 1987, p. 566 vol 281
- [C25] **Brunner, H.**
 “Leitklebstoffe – die sinnvolle Alternative”
 Adhasion Heft 10 (1987) p. 9

- [C26] **Ying, L.**
 “A reworkable high reliability thermoplastic die attach adhesive”
 Proceedings international symposium on microelectronics 1986, p. 621-631
- [C27] **Mims, Forrest M.**
 “Conductive inks and adhesives”
 Radio-Electronics 1987, Nov. pp. 81-84
- [C28] **Spitz, S. Leonard**
 “Conductive polymers come out from labs”
 Electronic packaging & production
- [C29] **Dassele, Michael A.**
 “EPO-TEK H20E conductive epoxy: the effects of mix ratio and pot age on electrical and mechanical performance”
- [C30] **Epexy Technology inc.**
 EPO-TEK 420E
- [C31] **Lambert, Francois**
 “H20 E Mixing ratio influence on over-all performances”
 Epotency France 1987/mge microtech ate.
- [C32] **Whiting, T., Tuffee, J.**
 “The fabrication of silver flakes for use in MLCC termination inks”
 A study of flake parameters and their effect on the properties of these inks. CARTS-EUROPE '91. 5th European Capacitor and Resistor technology symposium. P. 42-53
- [C33] **Baumann, L.**
 “Anwendung leitfähiger Polymere zur SMD-Kontaktierung auf Polymerleiterplatten”
 Feingeratetechnik 38 1989 p. 351-353
- [C34] **Estes, R.H.**
 “Adhesives for microelectronics MIL-A-87172 & beyond”
 Proceedings International Symposium on Microelectronics 1986 p. 642-656
- [C35] **Moshhammer, A., Wippel, Th., Zettl, F.**
 Ceramic chip capacitor for conductive bonding”
 CARTS-EUROPE '91. 5th European capacitor and resistor technology symposium. P. 255-260
- [C36] **Moshhammer, A.**
 “Um Faktor 2 besser, Warum sich keramische Chipkondensatoren für die Leitlebetechnik eignen”
 Leitlebetechnik, PRONIC 21 Feb. 1991
- [C37] **Nowak Artur**
 Overheads from meeting at EC 1991
- [C38] **Fulton, J.A., Moore, R.C., Sekutowski, J.C.**
 “Use of anisotropically conductive polymeres in electronic applications”. 3rd International SAMPE Electronics Conference 1989 p. 578-589
- [C39] **Estes, R.H.; Kulesza, F.W.**
 “Conductive epoxy solves surface mount problems”.

- Packaging and Interconnections Electronic Products magazine March 5, 1984
- [C40] **Sharpe, C.**
 “Advanced materials technology – the linchpin of electronics”
 Electronics & Power, vol. 32 no. 10 oct. 1986 p. 747-750
- [C41] **Yoshigahara, H.; Sagami, Y.; Nose, S.; Burkhart, A.**
 “Conductive epoxies for attachment of surface mount devices”
 4th International SAMPE Electronics Conference. Vol 4 **Electronic materials – our future.**
 June 12-14, 1990
- [C42] **Li, Lin and Chung, D.D.L**
 “Electrically conducting powder filled polyimidesiloxane”
 4th International SAMPE Electronics Conference, June 12-14, 1990
- [C46] **Claes von Scheele**
 “Ledande km – E11 Alternativ til LOD”
 IVF Jan. 86
- [C47] **Schafer, H.; Gesang, Th.; Hennemann, O.D.; Van Eys, H.**
 “AEM-Untersuchungen an Grenzschichten in elektrisch leitfähigen Klebverbindungen”
 DVS Berichte Band 141 Verbindungstechnik in der Elektronik Vorträge und Poster-Beiträge
 des 6. Internationalen Kolloquiums in Fellbach vom 18. Bis 20. Feb. 1992
- [C48] **Burmester, K.**
 “Vorbereitung und Nachbearbeitung von Lot- und Klebstellen in elektronischen Baugruppen”
 DVS Berichte Bank 141 Verbindungstechnik in der Elektronik. Vorträge und Poster –
 Beiträge des 6. Internationalen Kolloquiums in Fellbach vom 18. Bis 20. Feb. 1992
- [C49] **Liu, J.**
 “Bonding of fine pitch SMD using anisotropic conductive adhesives”
 Seminar: Conductive Adhesives 19th March 1992 at ElektronikCentralen
- [C50] **Rikola, R.**
 “Reliability of joints made with Conductive Adhesives”
 Technical Research Centre of Finland.
 Seminar: Conductive Adhesives 19th March 1992 at ElektronikCentralen
- [C51] **Gawalewicz, T.; MPE Microtech**
 “One- and two-component epoxies, polyimides and silicones - +/-?”
 Seminar: Conductive adhesives 19th March 1992 at ElektronikCentralen
- [C52] **Van den Bosch, A. GRACE**
 “Trends reliability and technical development for the use of conductive adhesives as solder
 replacement”
 Seminar: Conductive Adhesives 19th March 1992 at ElektronikCentralen
- [C53] **Lerche, A.**
 “Lime til metal” (Adhesives for metal) – tekniske og miljømæssige egenskaber for en række
 limtyper
 Arbejdsmiljefondens forskningsrapporter
- [C54] **S.T. Olesen**
 “CFC Reduction” ECR-250, April 1992

- [C55] **Henrik L. Hvims**
“Electrically Conductive Adhesives for SMT, Reliability, design guidelines and applications for solder replacement” July 1993, EC-report
- [C56] **Henrik L. Hvims**
“Adhesives as Solder Replacement for SMT, Reliability, design guidelines, applications and materials guide for electrically conductive adhesives” January 1994, volume 1 and 2
- [C57] **IPC-3406**
“Guidelines for Electrically Conductive Surface Mount A Adhesives”, July 1996
- [C58] **James C. Jagt**
“Reliability of Electrically Conductive Adhesive Joints for Surface Mount Applications, A Summary of the State of the Art”